

Weyl modules and Schur duality for $GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{S}_d$

L. Cagliero

FAMAF, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba – CONICET

Workshop and CMS Special Session on Representation Theory
Regina, May 31 - June 4, 2012

Highest Weight Theorem for $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$, $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$

Polynomial representation of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$: group homomorphism

$$\pi : GL(\textcolor{blue}{n}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow GL(V) = GL(\textcolor{teal}{k}, \mathbb{C})$$

s.t.: entries of $\pi(g)$ are polynomials in the entries of $g \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$.

Highest Weight Theorem for $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$, $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$

Polynomial representation of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$: group homomorphism

$$\pi : GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow GL(V) = GL(k, \mathbb{C})$$

s.t.: entries of $\pi(g)$ are polynomials in the entries of $g \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$.

Example:

$n = 2$, $V = \{A \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{C}) : \text{trace}(A) = 0\}$, $k = \dim V = 3$,

$$\pi(g)(A) = \det(g)gAg^{-1}$$

$$\pi \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & 2ab & b^2 \\ ac & ad + bc & bd \\ c^2 & 2cd & d^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Every polynomial representation of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is completely reducible.

A non-polynomial representation:

$$\pi(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \log(|\det A|) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

it is not completely reducible.

The character of a polynomial representation

The 'usual' character of $\pi : GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow GL(V)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_V : GL(n, \mathbb{C}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ g &\mapsto \text{trace } \pi(g)\end{aligned}$$

Basic properties:

- It characterizes π .

($U(n)$ is compact)

The character of a polynomial representation

The 'usual' character of $\pi : GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow GL(V)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_V : GL(n, \mathbb{C}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ g &\mapsto \text{trace } \pi(g)\end{aligned}$$

Basic properties:

- It characterizes π . ($U(n)$ is compact)
- Even the restriction $\chi_V : \text{Diag}(n, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ characterizes π , this is the *actual character*. (Jordan normal form)
- If $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & x_n \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Diag}(n, \mathbb{C})$, then $\chi_V(x)$ is a symmetric polynomial in x_1, \dots, x_n .

- $\chi_V(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} m_\alpha x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} \iff V = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} V_\alpha$

with $\dim V_\alpha = m_\alpha$ and $\pi(x)|_{V_\alpha} = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} \text{Id}$.

$$P_V = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n : m_\alpha \neq 0 \text{ (in fact } m_\alpha \in \mathbb{N})\}$$

is the *set of weights* of (π, V) ; V_α is the *weight space* of α ;
 m_α is the *multiplicity* of α .

- $\chi_V(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} m_\alpha x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} \iff V = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} V_\alpha$

with $\dim V_\alpha = m_\alpha$ and $\pi(x)|_{V_\alpha} = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} \text{Id}$.

$$P_V = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n : m_\alpha \neq 0 \text{ (in fact } m_\alpha \in \mathbb{N})\}$$

is the *set of weights* of (π, V) ; V_α is the *weight space* of α ;
 m_α is the *multiplicity* of α .

In the previous example:

$$\pi \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & 2ab & b^2 \\ ac & ad + bc & bd \\ c^2 & 2cd & d^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

hence, if $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}$ then

$$\chi_V(x) = x_1^2 + x_1 x_2 + x_2^2 \text{ and } P_V = \{(2, 0), (1, 1), (0, 2)\}.$$

- $\chi_V(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} m_\alpha x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} \iff V = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} V_\alpha$

with $\dim V_\alpha = m_\alpha$ and $\pi(x)|_{V_\alpha} = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} \text{Id}$.

$$P_V = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n : m_\alpha \neq 0 \text{ (in fact } m_\alpha \in \mathbb{N})\}$$

is the *set of weights* of (π, V) ; V_α is the *weight space* of α ;
 m_α is the *multiplicity* of α .

Order in P_V : if (π, V) is a polyn repn of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, $\alpha, \beta \in P_V$:

Lexicographic: $\alpha > \beta \iff \alpha_1 = \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_r = \beta_r \text{ and } \alpha_{r+1} > \beta_{r+1}$.

The **highest weight** of π is the maximal weight of P_V in this order.

The **highest weight** of π is the maximal weight of P_V in this order.


The **highest weight** of π is the maximal weight of P_V in this order.

Theorem (Highest Weight Theorem)

If α is the highest weight of an **irred polyn repn** (π, V) of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$, then:

- $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_n \geq 0$.
- $m_\alpha = 1$, that is $\dim V_\alpha = 1$.
- $\pi(g)|_{V_\alpha} = \text{Id}$ for all $g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$. This property characterizes α .
- α characterizes π and in fact

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Polyn Irreps} \\ \text{of } GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Partitions } \alpha_1 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_n \geq 0 \\ \text{with at most } n \text{ parts} \end{array} \right\}$$

Partitions: if $\alpha = (3, 2, 2, 1)$, we write $\alpha =$  (Young diagram)

and we say that α is a partition of $8 = 3 + 2 + 2 + 1$ and has 4 parts.

$$\text{Irreps}(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Irred polynomial} \\ \text{reps of } \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \end{array} \right\},$$

$$\text{Part}_{\leq n} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Partitions } \alpha_1 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_n \geq 0 \\ \text{with at most } n \text{ parts} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Highest Weight Theorem. The following map is a bijection:

$$\text{Irreps}(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})) \longleftrightarrow \text{Part}_{\leq n}$$

$$(\pi, V) \longrightarrow \text{the highest weight of } \pi \text{ (or } P_V)$$

$$\pi_\alpha \longleftarrow \alpha \quad (\text{Schur functor}).$$

$$\text{Irreps}(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Irred polynomial} \\ \text{reps of } \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \end{array} \right\},$$

$$\text{Part}_{\leq n} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Partitions } \alpha_1 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_n \geq 0 \\ \text{with at most } n \text{ parts} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Highest Weight Theorem. The following map is a bijection:

$$\text{Irreps}(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})) \longleftrightarrow \text{Part}_{\leq n}$$

$$(\pi, V) \longrightarrow \text{the highest weight of } \pi \text{ (or } P_V)$$

$$\pi_\alpha \longleftarrow \alpha \quad (\text{Schur functor}).$$

Examples.

- In the previous example, $\chi_V(x) = x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_2^2$ and $\alpha = (2, 0)$.
- If $V = \mathbb{C}$ and $\pi : \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$, $\pi(g) = 1$ is the **trivial representation**, $\chi_V(x) = 1$ and $\alpha = (0, 0)$.
- If $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\pi : \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$, $\pi(g) = g$ is the **canonical representation**, $\chi_V(x) = x_1 + \cdots + x_n$ and $\alpha = (1, 0)$.

- $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\pi : \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V^{\otimes d})$, $\pi(g) = g^{\otimes d}$ is the d -tensor power representation (it is not irred),
 $\chi_V(x) = (x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^d$.

Thus: $\mathrm{Irreps}(\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}), (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes d}) \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{Part}_{\leq n}(d)$
 where

$$\mathrm{Irreps}(\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}), (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes d}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Irred polynomial reps of} \\ \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \text{ appearing in } (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes d} \end{array} \right\},$$

$$\mathrm{Part}_{\leq n}(d) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Partitions } \alpha_1 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_n \geq 0 \\ \text{of } d \text{ with at most } n \text{ parts} \end{array} \right\}$$

Weyl modules and Schur functor

V : vector space of dimension n ($V = \mathbb{C}^n$). As $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ -modules

$$V^{\otimes d} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \text{Part}_{\leq n}(d)} a_{\alpha} \pi_{\alpha}, \quad a_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}$$

Weyl modules and Schur functor

V : vector space of dimension n ($V = \mathbb{C}^n$). As $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned}
 V^{\otimes d} &= \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \text{Part}_{\leq n}(d)} a_{\alpha} \pi_{\alpha}, \quad a_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N} \\
 &= \text{Sym}^d(\mathbb{C}^n) \oplus \Lambda^d(\mathbb{C}^n) \oplus W \\
 &= \boxed{ \cdots } \oplus \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \vdots \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \in \text{Part}_{\leq n}(d) \\ \alpha \neq (d, 0, \dots, 0) \\ \alpha \neq (1, 1, \dots, 1)}} a_{\alpha} \pi_{\alpha}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\text{Sym}^d(V)$: the subspace of $V^{\otimes d}$ of symmetric tensors,

$\Lambda^d(V)$: the subspace of $V^{\otimes d}$ of skew-symmetric tensors,

$$\text{Sym}^d(V) = \left\{ \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d} v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma(d)} \in V^{\otimes d} \right\}$$

$$\Lambda^d(V) = \left\{ \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d} (-1)^{|\sigma|} v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma(d)} \in V^{\otimes d} \right\}$$

Weyl modules and Schur functor

Let $\alpha \in \text{Part}_{\leq n}(d)$, $V = \mathbb{C}^n$.

The **Weyl module** $\mathbb{S}_{\alpha}(V)$ is a $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -submodule of $V^{\otimes d}$.

Weyl modules and Schur functor

Let $\alpha \in \text{Part}_{\leq n}(d)$, $V = \mathbb{C}^n$.

The **Weyl module** $\mathbb{S}_\alpha(V)$ is a $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -submodule of $V^{\otimes d}$.

– For $\alpha = \square \cdots \square$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{S}_\alpha(V) &:= \text{Sym}^d(V) = \left\{ \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d} v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma(d)} \in V^{\otimes d} \right\} \\ &:= c_\alpha \cdot V^{\otimes d}, \quad \text{with } c_\alpha = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d} \sigma \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_d]\end{aligned}$$

– For $\alpha = \begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \vdots \\ \square \end{smallmatrix}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{S}_\alpha(V) &:= \Lambda^d(V) = \left\{ \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d} (-1)^{|\sigma|} v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma(d)} \in V^{\otimes d} \right\} \\ &:= c_\alpha \cdot V^{\otimes d}, \quad \text{with } c_\alpha = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \sigma \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_d].\end{aligned}$$

For arbitrary $\alpha \in \text{Part}_{\leq n}(d)$: need to define *Young symmetrizer* c_α .

For arbitrary $\alpha \in \text{Part}_{\leq n}(d)$: need to define *Young symmetrizer* c_α .

If $\alpha =$

, then $T_\alpha =$

1	2	3
4	5	
6	7	
8		

is the *tableau* of α

$$p_\alpha = \sum_{\sigma \in P_\alpha} \sigma, \quad P_\alpha = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d : \sigma \text{ preserves each row of } T_\alpha\};$$

$$q_\alpha = \sum_{\sigma \in Q_\alpha} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \sigma, \quad Q_\alpha = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d : \sigma \text{ preserves each column of } T_\alpha\};$$

$$c_\alpha = p_\alpha q_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_d].$$

For arbitrary $\alpha \in \text{Part}_{\leq n}(d)$: need to define *Young symmetrizer* c_α .

If $\alpha =$

, then $T_\alpha =$

1	2	3
4	5	
6	7	
8		

is the *tableau* of α

$$p_\alpha = \sum_{\sigma \in P_\alpha} \sigma, \quad P_\alpha = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d : \sigma \text{ preserves each row of } T_\alpha\};$$

$$q_\alpha = \sum_{\sigma \in Q_\alpha} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \sigma, \quad Q_\alpha = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d : \sigma \text{ preserves each column of } T_\alpha\};$$

$$c_\alpha = p_\alpha q_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_d].$$

$$\text{-- For } \alpha = \boxed{} \cdots \boxed{}, \quad p_\alpha = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d} \sigma, \quad q_\alpha = 1, \quad c_\alpha = p_\alpha.$$

$$\text{-- For } \alpha = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \vdots \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad p_\alpha = 1, \quad q_\alpha = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \sigma, \quad c_\alpha = q_\alpha.$$

– For $\alpha = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}$, $T_\alpha = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \square \\ \hline \end{array}$

$$P_\alpha = \{(1), (12)\}, \quad p_\alpha = 1 + (12);$$

$$Q_\alpha = \{(1), (13)\}, \quad q_\alpha = 1 - (13);$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_\alpha &= (1 + (12))(1 - (13)) \\ &= 1 + (12) - (13) - (132) \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_d]. \end{aligned}$$

– For $\alpha = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}$, $T_\alpha = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \square \\ \hline \end{array}$

$$P_\alpha = \{(1), (12)\}, \quad p_\alpha = 1 + (12);$$

$$Q_\alpha = \{(1), (13)\}, \quad q_\alpha = 1 - (13);$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_\alpha &= (1 + (12))(1 - (13)) \\ &= 1 + (12) - (13) - (132) \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_d]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{S}_\alpha(V) = c_\alpha \cdot V^{\otimes 3}$$

$$= \text{span}\{v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3 + v_2 \otimes v_1 \otimes v_3 - v_3 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_1 - v_3 \otimes v_1 \otimes v_2\}$$

For $\alpha \in \text{Part}_{\leq n}(d)$, $V = \mathbb{C}^n$

$$p_\alpha = \sum_{\sigma \in P_\alpha} \sigma, \quad P_\alpha = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d : \sigma \text{ preserves each row of } T_\alpha\};$$

$$q_\alpha = \sum_{\sigma \in Q_\alpha} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \sigma, \quad Q_\alpha = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d : \sigma \text{ preserves each column of } T_\alpha\};$$

$$c_\alpha = p_\alpha q_\alpha \text{ in } \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_d].$$

Then

$$\mathbb{S}_\alpha(V) := c_\alpha \cdot V^{\otimes d}$$

is a $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant submodule of $V^{\otimes d}$ and
it is irreducible of highest weight α .

For $\alpha \in \text{Part}_{\leq n}(d)$, $V = \mathbb{C}^n$

$$p_\alpha = \sum_{\sigma \in P_\alpha} \sigma, \quad P_\alpha = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d : \sigma \text{ preserves each row of } T_\alpha\};$$

$$q_\alpha = \sum_{\sigma \in Q_\alpha} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \sigma, \quad Q_\alpha = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d : \sigma \text{ preserves each column of } T_\alpha\};$$

$$c_\alpha = p_\alpha q_\alpha \text{ in } \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_d].$$

Then

$$\mathbb{S}_\alpha(V) := c_\alpha \cdot V^{\otimes d}$$

is a $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant submodule of $V^{\otimes d}$ and
it is irreducible of highest weight α .

By the way,

$$L_\alpha := c_\alpha \cdot \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_d]$$

is a \mathfrak{S}_d -invariant submodule of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_d]$ and it is isomorphic to the
irreducible \mathfrak{S}_d -module corresponding to α .

Example. $V = \mathbb{C}^n$

Let $\alpha = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline \end{array}$, $T_\alpha = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array}$

$$P_\alpha = \{(1), (12)\}, \quad p_\alpha = 1 + (12);$$

$$Q_\alpha = \{(1), (13)\}, \quad q_\alpha = 1 - (13);$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_\alpha &= (1 + (12))(1 - (13)) \\ &= 1 + (12) - (13) - (132) \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_d]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{S}_\alpha(V) = c_\alpha \cdot V^{\otimes 3}$$

$$= \text{span}\{v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3 + v_2 \otimes v_1 \otimes v_3 - v_3 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_1 - v_3 \otimes v_1 \otimes v_2\}$$

Example. $V = \mathbb{C}^n$

Let $\alpha = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline \end{array}$, $T_\alpha = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array}$

$$P_\alpha = \{(1), (12)\}, \quad p_\alpha = 1 + (12);$$

$$Q_\alpha = \{(1), (13)\}, \quad q_\alpha = 1 - (13);$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_\alpha &= (1 + (12))(1 - (13)) \\ &= 1 + (12) - (13) - (132) \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_d]. \end{aligned}$$

$$S_\alpha(V) = c_\alpha \cdot V^{\otimes 3}$$

$$= \text{span}\{v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3 + v_2 \otimes v_1 \otimes v_3 - v_3 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_1 - v_3 \otimes v_1 \otimes v_2\}$$

$$(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes 3} = \text{Sym}^3(\mathbb{C}^n) \oplus \Lambda^3(\mathbb{C}^n) \oplus 2 \mathbb{S}_\alpha(V) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \oplus 2 \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

There was a free choice in the definition of c_α : for $\alpha \in \text{Part}_{\leq \bullet}(d)$

$$T_\alpha = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 4 & 5 & \\ \hline 6 & 7 & \\ \hline 8 & & \\ \hline \end{array} \rightsquigarrow P_\alpha, Q_\alpha \rightsquigarrow p_\alpha, q_\alpha \rightsquigarrow c_\alpha$$

We could have started with $\tilde{T}_\alpha = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 6 & 5 & 2 \\ \hline 4 & 8 & \\ \hline 1 & 3 & \\ \hline 7 & & \\ \hline \end{array} \rightsquigarrow \tilde{c}_\alpha.$

There was a free choice in the definition of c_α : for $\alpha \in \text{Part}_{\leq \bullet}(d)$

$$T_\alpha = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 4 & 5 & \\ \hline 6 & 7 & \\ \hline 8 & & \\ \hline \end{array} \rightsquigarrow P_\alpha, Q_\alpha \rightsquigarrow p_\alpha, q_\alpha \rightsquigarrow c_\alpha$$

We could have started with $\tilde{T}_\alpha = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 6 & 5 & 2 \\ \hline 4 & 8 & \\ \hline 1 & 3 & \\ \hline 7 & & \\ \hline \end{array} \rightsquigarrow \tilde{c}_\alpha$.

This leads to different

$$\mathbb{S}_\alpha(V) = c_\alpha \cdot V^{\otimes d} \quad L_\alpha = c_\alpha \cdot \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_d]$$

$$\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_\alpha(V) = \tilde{c}_\alpha \cdot V^{\otimes d} \quad \tilde{L}_\alpha = \tilde{c}_\alpha \cdot \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_d]$$

This freedom amounts to k_α different choices of c_α , $k_\alpha = \dim(L_\alpha)$.

There was a free choice in the definition of c_α : for $\alpha \in \text{Part}_{\leq \bullet}(d)$

$$T_\alpha = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 4 & 5 & \\ \hline 6 & 7 & \\ \hline 8 & & \\ \hline \end{array} \rightsquigarrow P_\alpha, Q_\alpha \rightsquigarrow p_\alpha, q_\alpha \rightsquigarrow c_\alpha$$

We could have started with $\tilde{T}_\alpha = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 6 & 5 & 2 \\ \hline 4 & 8 & \\ \hline 1 & 3 & \\ \hline 7 & & \\ \hline \end{array} \rightsquigarrow \tilde{c}_\alpha$.

This leads to different

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}_\alpha(V) &= c_\alpha \cdot V^{\otimes d} & L_\alpha &= c_\alpha \cdot \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_d] \\ \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_\alpha(V) &= \tilde{c}_\alpha \cdot V^{\otimes d} & \tilde{L}_\alpha &= \tilde{c}_\alpha \cdot \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_d] \end{aligned}$$

This freedom amounts to k_α different choices of c_α , $k_\alpha = \dim(L_\alpha)$.

Theorem (Peter and Weyl)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_d] &= \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \text{Part}_{\leq \bullet}(d)} \dim(L_\alpha) L_\alpha, & \text{as } \mathfrak{S}_d\text{-mod} \\ &= \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \text{Part}_{\leq \bullet}(d)} L_\alpha^* \otimes L_\alpha, & \text{as } \mathfrak{S}_d \times \mathfrak{S}_d\text{-mod} \end{aligned}$$

There was a free choice in the definition of c_α : for $\alpha \in \text{Part}_{\leq \bullet}(d)$

$$T_\alpha = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 4 & 5 & \\ \hline 6 & 7 & \\ \hline 8 & & \\ \hline \end{array} \rightsquigarrow P_\alpha, Q_\alpha \rightsquigarrow p_\alpha, q_\alpha \rightsquigarrow c_\alpha$$

We could have started with $\tilde{T}_\alpha = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 6 & 5 & 2 \\ \hline 4 & 8 & \\ \hline 1 & 3 & \\ \hline 7 & & \\ \hline \end{array} \rightsquigarrow \tilde{c}_\alpha$.

This leads to different

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}_\alpha(V) &= c_\alpha \cdot V^{\otimes d} & L_\alpha &= c_\alpha \cdot \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_d] \\ \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_\alpha(V) &= \tilde{c}_\alpha \cdot V^{\otimes d} & \tilde{L}_\alpha &= \tilde{c}_\alpha \cdot \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_d] \end{aligned}$$

This freedom amounts to k_α different choices of c_α , $k_\alpha = \dim(L_\alpha)$.

Theorem (Schur Duality for $GL(n) \times \mathfrak{S}_d$)

Let $V = \mathbb{C}^n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} V^{\otimes d} &= \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \text{Part}_{\leq n}(d)} \dim(L_\alpha) \mathbb{S}_\alpha(V), & \text{as } GL(n)\text{-mod} \\ &= \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \text{Part}_{\leq n}(d)} L_\alpha \otimes \mathbb{S}_\alpha(V), & \text{as } \mathfrak{S}_d \times GL(n)\text{-mod} \end{aligned}$$

Hermite's reciprocity law states that

$$\mathrm{Sym}^n (\mathrm{Sym}^m(\mathbb{C}^2)) \simeq \mathrm{Sym}^m (\mathrm{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}^2))$$

as $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -modules. Equivalently

$$\mathbb{S}_{\underbrace{\square \cdots \square}_{n \text{ times}}} (V_m) \simeq \mathbb{S}_{\underbrace{\square \cdots \square}_{m \text{ times}}} (V_n).$$

Hermite's reciprocity law states that

$$\mathrm{Sym}^n (\mathrm{Sym}^m (\mathbb{C}^2)) \simeq \mathrm{Sym}^m (\mathrm{Sym}^n (\mathbb{C}^2))$$

as $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -modules. Equivalently

$$\mathbb{S}_{\substack{\boxed{} \cdots \boxed{} \\ n \text{ times}}} (V_m) \simeq \mathbb{S}_{\substack{\boxed{} \cdots \boxed{} \\ m \text{ times}}} (V_n).$$

Manivels's extension (2007) of Hermite's reciprocity law:

$$\mathbb{S}_{\substack{\boxed{} \cdots \boxed{} \\ \vdots \\ \boxed{} \cdots \boxed{} \\ k \\ \vdots \\ \boxed{} \cdots \boxed{} \\ n}} (V_m)$$

is symmetric in m, n, k as $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -modules.

Hermite's reciprocity law states that

$$\mathrm{Sym}^n (\mathrm{Sym}^m (\mathbb{C}^2)) \simeq \mathrm{Sym}^m (\mathrm{Sym}^n (\mathbb{C}^2))$$

as $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -modules. Equivalently

$$\mathbb{S}_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \cdots & \square \\ n \text{ times} \end{smallmatrix}} (V_m) \simeq \mathbb{S}_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \cdots & \square \\ m \text{ times} \end{smallmatrix}} (V_n).$$

Manivels's extension (2007) if Hermite's reciprocity law:

$$\mathbb{S}_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \cdots & \square \\ \vdots & \square & \vdots \\ \vdots & \square & \vdots \\ k & \square & \vdots \\ \vdots & \square & \vdots \\ \vdots & \square & \vdots \\ n & \square & \vdots \end{smallmatrix}} (V_m)$$

is symmetric in m, n, k as $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -modules.

Question: find other solutions for the plethysm equation:

$$\mathbb{S}_{\alpha} (V_m) \simeq \mathbb{S}_{\beta} (V_n), \quad \text{unknowns: } \alpha, \beta, m, n$$

as $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ or $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -modules.

We introduce the following notation:

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \\ \hline \square & \square & \\ \hline \square & & \\ \hline \end{array} = \mathbb{S}_\alpha(V_{z-1}), \quad \alpha = (3, 2, 2, 1).$$

z

We introduce the following notation:

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \\ \hline \square & \square & \\ \hline \square & & \\ \hline \end{array} = \mathbb{S}_\alpha(V_{z-1}), \quad \alpha = (3, 2, 2, 1).$$

Theorem (joint with D. Penazzi)

Let x_1, \dots, x_n and y_1, \dots, y_n be two sequences in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,
 set $|x| = \sum x_i$, $|y| = \sum y_i$,
 and let $u, v, z \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Then the following $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -isomorphism holds:

$x_1 \dots x_n$ u $y_1 \dots y_n$

x_1						
\vdots						
x_n						
v						
y_1						
\vdots						
y_n						

$|x| + |y| + \text{v} + z$

~

$x_1 \dots x_n$ v $y_1 \dots y_n$

x_1						
\vdots						
x_n						
u						
y_1						
\vdots						
y_n						

$|x| + |y| + \text{u} + z$