Free Cash-Flow, Issuance Costs and Stock Volatility

by

Jean-Paul Décamps, Thomas Mariotti Jean-Charles Rochet, Stéphane Villeneuve

Toulouse School of Economics (GREMAQ-IDEI).

Introduction (1)

Research questions: Optimal level of cash holdings for a corporation? Implications in terms of security issuance and payout policy? When to issue new securities? Design of securities? Dynamics of prices?

guidance for a simple theoretical model

▷ Why cash holding? Use cash to finance activities and investment when other sources of funding are costly.

- Precautionary motive for holding cash is very strong Opler et al 1999, JFE, US 1971-94.
- Cost of external finance: Hennessy and Whited 2007, JOF; Lee et al 1996, JFR; (Average cost of SEO: 7.1% of the proceeds of the issuing; SEO infrequent and lumpy) Bazdresh, 2005.

▷ Why is it costly? High levels of cash induce managers to engage in wasteful activities.

- Easterbrook, 1984, Jensen, 1986
- Dittmar and Mahrt-Smith, 2007, JFE; Kalcheva and Lins, 2007, RFS

- ▷ Main Results
 - issuance and payout policies that maximize the value of the firm.
 - firms have target cash levels (cash in excess of certain threshold is returned to shareholders) (Opler et al, 1999, DeAngelo, DeAngelo and Stulz, 2006, JFE).
 - firms optimally issue equity. Equity adjustments take place in lumpy and infrequent issues.
 - asset pricing implications of financing costs and agency
 - stock prices exhibit heteroskedasticity
 - dollar volatility of stock prices increases after a negative shock on stock prices. (Black, 1976, "When things go badly for the firm, its stock price will fall, and the volatility of the stock will go up.")

▷ Contribute to complement the CTCF literature initiated by Black and Cox, 1976, Leland, 1994.

Relation to the math. Fin. literature on optimal dividend and liquidity management policies: Jeanblanc and Shiryaev 1995; Sethi and Taksar, 2002; Lokka and Zervos, 2005; Cadenillas and Clark 2007.

The Model (1)

 \triangleright Cumulative cash-flow process R_t :

$$R_0 = 0 \quad dR_t = \mu dt + \sigma dW_t.$$

▷ Frictions

- Fixed and proportional issuance costs $m, i, m + \frac{i}{p} f$
- managerial inefficiencies
- ▷ Issuance policy
 - dates at which new security is issued: $(au_n)_{n\geq 1}$
 - issuance proceed: $(i_n)_{n\geq 1}$
 - Total issuance proceed: $I_t = \sum_{n \ge 1} i_n \mathbb{1}_{\tau_n \le t}$
 - Total fixed issuance costs: $F_t = \sum_{n \ge 1} f \mathbbm{1}_{\tau_n \le t}$
- Cash reserves process
 - $M = \{M_t; t \ge 0\}$

 $M_0^- = m, \ dM_t = (r - \lambda)M_t dt + dR_t + \frac{1}{p}dI_t - dF_t - dL_t$

• Bankruptcy time $\tau_B = \{t \ge 0 \mid M_t < 0\}$

5

The Model (2)

▷ Value of the firm for a given policy

$$v(m; (\tau_n)_{n\geq 1}, (i_n)_{n\geq 1}, L) = \mathbb{E}^m \left[\int_0^{\tau_B} e^{-rt} \left(dL_t - dI_t \right) \right],$$

⊳Value function

$$V^{*}(m) = \sup_{(\tau_{n})_{n \geq 1}, (i_{n})_{n \geq 1}, L} \left\{ v(m; (\tau_{n})_{n \geq 1}, (i_{n})_{n \geq 1}, L) \right\}$$

▷ Questions

- value function,
- optimal issuance and payout policies,
- optimal security,
- dynamics of security prices,
- testable asset pricing implications.
- First-best environment

$$V(m) = m + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^\infty e^{-rt} \left(\mu dt + \sigma dW_t\right)\right] = m + \frac{\mu}{r}.$$

Benchmark: p = 1, f = 0, $\lambda > 0$

 \triangleright distribute all initial cash reserve m as a special payment at date 0, hold no cash beyond that date.

▷ The pair
$$(L, I)$$

 $L_t = m \mathbb{1}_{\{t=0\}} + lt; \quad I_t = (l - \mu)t - \sigma W_t$
 $V(m) = \mathbb{E}^m \Big[\int_0^\infty e^{-rt} (dL_t - dI_t) \Big]$
 $m + \mathbb{E} \Big[\int_0^\infty e^{-rt} (\mu dt + \sigma dW_t) \Big] = m + \frac{\mu}{r}$

▷ Dynamics of security prices.

 $S = \{S_t; t \ge 0\}$ ex-payment price of a share of the security issued by the firm $N = \{N_t; t \ge 0\}$ number of outstanding shares

$$V(M_t) = N_t S_t$$

$$dI_t = d(N_t S_t) - N_t dS_t = -N_t dS_t = -\frac{\mu}{r} \frac{dS_t}{S_t}$$

$$\frac{dS_t + dD_t}{S_t} = rdt + \frac{\sigma r}{\mu} dW_t$$

where D_t is the cumulative payment per share process:

$$dD_t = l\frac{r}{\mu}S_t dt = \frac{l}{N_t} dt.$$

7

Benchmark: p = 1, f = 0, $\lambda > 0$

$$\frac{dS_t}{S_t} = r\left(1 - \frac{l}{\mu}\right)dt + \frac{\sigma r}{\mu}dW_t$$

$$S_t = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^\infty e^{-r(s-t)} \frac{lr S_s}{\mu} ds \,|\, \mathcal{F}_t\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^\infty e^{-r(s-t)} \frac{l}{N_s} ds \,|\, \mathcal{F}_t\right].$$





- If issuance costs are "large": diffusion process that is reflected back each time it hits \hat{m}_1 , and that is absorbed at 0.
- If issuance costs are "not too large": diffusion process that is reflected back each time it hits m_1^* , and jumps to m_0^* each time it hits 0.
- Optimal issuance policy
 - Firm value jumps from $V^*(0)$ to $V^*(m_0^*)$
 - Each time M hits zero, the amount $V^*(m_0^*) V^*(0)$ of new security is issued.

Stock price dynamics (1)

 $S = \{S_t; t \ge 0\}$ ex-dividend price of a share in the firm $N = \{N_t; t \ge 0\}$ number of shares issued by the firm

- Stock price does not jump at optimal issuance dates: $S_{\tau_n} = S_{\tau_n-}$
- $V^*(M_t) = N_t S_t$
- $dI_t = d(N_t S_t) N_t dS_t = S_t dN_t$
- $V^*(m_0^*) V^*(0) = S_{\tau_n}(N_{\tau_n} N_{\tau_n})$

Proposition. The process N modelling the number of outstanding shares is given by:

$$N_t = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \le t < \tau_1, \\ \left[\frac{V^*(m_0^*)}{V^*(0)}\right]^n & \tau_n \le t < \tau_{n+1}. \end{cases}$$

Stock price dynamics (continuity)

AAO

$$S_t = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^\infty e^{-r(s-t)} \frac{dL_s}{N_s} | \mathcal{F}_t\right]$$
$$e^{-rt} S_t = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^\infty e^{-rs} \frac{dL_s}{N_s} | \mathcal{F}_t\right] - \int_0^t e^{-rs} \frac{dL_s}{N_s}.$$

Stock price dynamics (2)

- $V^*(M_t) = N_t S_t$
- $dS_t = d[V^*(M_t)]/N_{\tau_n} \quad \forall t \in [\tau_n, \tau_{n+1}).$

Proposition. Between two consecutive issuance dates τ_n and τ_{n+1} , the instantaneous return on stock satisfies:

$$\frac{dS_t + dD_t}{S_t} = rdt + \sigma(N_{\tau_n}S_t)dW_t,$$

where

$$\sigma(v) \equiv \sigma \frac{V^{*'} \left[(V^*)^{-1}(v) \right]}{v}$$

 D_t denotes the cumulative dividend per share process:

$$dD_t = \frac{dL_t^{m_1*}}{N_{\tau_n}}.$$

Consequences:

- Changes in the volatility of stock returns are negatively correlated with stock price movements.
- Changes in the volatility of stock prices are negatively correlated with stock price movements.
- Stock price cannot take arbitrarily large values.
- A reduction in issuance costs should lead to a fall in the volatility of stock returns.

Conclusion

Introducing growth opportunities...

- Interaction between dividend policy and decision to invest in a growth opportunity
- Role of issuance costs? Does a decrease in issuance costs encourage firms to invest in more risky projects? Consequences on the dynamics of stock prices?
- non predictable growth opportunity

Conclusion

Taking into account issuance costs in corporate models allows to derive several implications on asset pricing

Issuance costs provide a natural explanation for heteroscedasticity of stock prices.

Comparative statics

Proposition

• The elasticity of the value of the firm with respect to its cash reserves,

$$\epsilon^*(m) = \frac{mV^{*\prime}(m)}{V^*(m)}; \quad m \ge 0,$$

is an increasing function of the issuance costs \boldsymbol{p} and $\boldsymbol{f}.$

• The volatility of stock returns as a function of the firm's valuation,

$$\sigma^*(v) = \sigma \frac{V^{*'}((V^*)^{-1}(v))}{v}; \quad V^*(0) \le v \le V^*(m_1^*),$$

is an increasing function of the issuance costs \boldsymbol{p} and $\boldsymbol{f}.$

- A reduction in issuance costs should reduce the responsiveness of firm's valuations to changes in their cash reserves.
- A reduction in issuance costs should lead to a fall in the volatility of stock returns.

Value function



 \triangleright Cash reserve process M at the optimum.

- If issuance costs are "large": diffusion process that is reflected back each time it hits \hat{m}_1 , and that is absorbed at 0.
- If issuance costs are "not too large": diffusion process that is reflected back each time it hits m_1^* , and jumps to m_0^* each time it hits 0.
- Optimal issuance policy
 - Firm value jumps from $V^*(0)$ to $V^*(m_0^*)$
 - Each time M hits zero, the amount $V^*(m_0^*) V^*(0)$ of new equity is issued.

Value function (1)

Road map:

- Write a system of variational inequalities that the value function V^* should satisfy.
- Show that this system has a unique regular solution.
- Establish that this solution is indeed the optimal value function.

Value function (2)

▷ Heuristics

$$egin{aligned} V^*(m) &\geq V^*(m-l)+l \ V^{*\prime}(m) &\geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

 $V^*(m) \geq$

$$\mathbb{E}^{m} \Big[e^{-r(t \wedge \tau_{B})} V^{*} \Big(m + \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{B}} [(\mu + (r - \lambda)M_{s})ds + \sigma dW_{s}] \Big) \Big]$$
$$-rV^{*}(m) + \mathcal{L}V^{*}(m) \leq 0$$
$$\mathcal{L}u(m) = (\mu + (r - \lambda)m)u'(m) + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}u''(m).$$

Value function (3)

⊳ Guess

• Issuance policy

$$V^{*}(0) = \left[\max_{i \in [0,\infty)} \left\{ V^{*} \left(\frac{i}{p} - f\right) - i \right\} \right]^{+},$$

$$V^{*}(0) = \left[\max_{m \in [-f,\infty)} \left\{ V^{*}(m) - p(m+f) \right\} \right]^{+}$$

• Dividend policy $m \geq m_1^*$,

$$V^{*'}(m_1^*) = 1.$$

 V^{\ast} is postulated to be twice continuously differentiable over (0, ∞),

$$V^{*''}(m_1^*) = 0.$$

Value function (4)

 \triangleright Variational system: Find (V, m_1)

$$V(m) = 0; m < 0,$$
 (1)

$$V(0) = \left[\max_{m \in [-f,\infty)} \{V(m) - p(m+f)\}\right]^+, \quad (2)$$

$$-rV(m) + \mathcal{L}V(m) = 0; \ 0 < m < m_1,$$
 (3)

$$V(m) = \frac{\mu + (r - \lambda)m_1}{r} + m - m_1; \quad m \ge m_1.$$
 (4)

Solving the system

Fix $m_1 > 0$, V_{m_1} solution to: $-rV_{m_1}(m) + \mathcal{L}V_{m_1}(m) = 0; \quad 0 \le m \le m_1,$ $V'_{m_1}(m_1) = 1,$ $V''_{m_1}(m_1) = 0.$

 V_{m_1} solution to (1)-(4) linearly extended to $[m_1,\infty)$.

Value function (5)

$$V(0) = \left[\max_{m \in [-f,\infty)} \{V(m) - p(m+f)\}\right]^+$$

$$\exists ! \ \hat{m}_1 \ V_{\hat{m}_1}(0) = 0,$$

(i) If $\max_{m \in [-f,\infty)} \{V_{\hat{m}_1}(m) - p(m+f)\} = 0$
 $V^* = V_{\hat{m}_1}$

(ii) If
$$\max_{m \in [-f,\infty)} \{ V_{\hat{m}_1}(m) - p(m+f) \} > 0$$

 $\forall m_1, \exists ! m_p(m_1) \ s.t \ V'_{m_1}(m_p(m_1)) = p$
 $V_{m_1}(0) = V_{m_1}(m_p(m_1)) - p[m_p(m_1) + f].$
 $m_1^*, m_p(m_1^*) = m_0^*, \ V^* = V_{m_1^*}$
 $V^*(m_0^*) - V^*(0) = p(m_0^* + f) = i^*$