PIMS-UBC Info Session on Grant Opportunities

Malabika Pramanik 14 April 2009

NSERC Grants

Primary website: www.nserc-crsng.gc.ca

Discovery Grants Program:

http://www.nserc-crsng.gc.ca/Professors-Professeurs/Grants-Subs/DGIGP-PSIGP_eng.asp

Duration: 1-5 years (normally 5).

Forms and Deadlines

| Form | Deadline |
|---|------------|
| Form 180 (Notification of Intent to Apply for a Discovery Grant) | August 1 |
| Form 100 (Personal Data Form) | November 1 |
| Form 101 (Application for a Grant) | November 1 |

NSERC – Eligibility

- Tenured/Tenure-track faculty (not postdocs).
- Categories of applicants
 - •Early career researchers: Applicants within 2 years of start date of their first eligible position at the university and who have no prior academic or non-academic independent research experience.
 - •Established researchers: All other applicants.
- Grant Selection Committees (GSC) aim to support at least 50% of applications from early career researchers.

NSERC – Evaluation Process

- Researcher suggests potential referees in Form 180.
- GSC determines list of 5 external referees for each proposal. Usually includes 2-3 from list provided in Form 180.
- First 3 referees from GSC list are contacted. Other referees used as backup.
- External review followed by GSC evaluation.
- GSC consists of about 10 reviewers. Normally, each proposal gets an in-depth evaluation by 2 GSC members. **GSC members** unlikely to be experts in the area of the proposal.

Ref: Peer Review Manual, http://www.nserc-crsng.gc.ca/NSERC-CRSNG/Reviewers-Examinateurs/IntroPRManual-IntroManuelEP_eng.asp

NSERC - Evaluation Criteria

Scientific or Engineering Excellence of the Researcher(s)

- Knowledge, expertise and experience of the researcher(s)
- Research accomplishments

Merit of the Proposal

- Originality and innovation
- Significance and expected contributions to research
- Clarity and scope of objectives
- Feasibility (vis-a-vis applicant's expertise & proposed methodology)

Contribution to training of highly qualified personnel (HQP)

- Quality and extent of contributions during the last 6 years (undergraduate to postdoctoral levels)
- Appropriateness of the proposal for training HQP

NSF Grants

Primary website: www.nsf.gov

Division of Mathematical Sciences (DMS):

http://www.nsf.gov/div/index.jsp?org=DMS

Application portal: https://www.fastlane.nsf.gov/

Duration: 3 years (normally).

Deadlines: Varying with subject area. See *Upcoming Due*

Dates section of DMS site.

Grant Proposal Guide:

http://www.nsf.gov/pubs/policydocs/pappguide/nsf09 29/gpg index.jsp

NSF – Eligibility

- Postdocs and tenured/tenure-track faculty
- All researchers placed on the same footing (no analogue of NSERC's Early Career Researchers).

NSF – Evaluation Process

- Proposal is submitted to the Disciplinary Research Program closest to the subject area (e.g., "Analysis", "Mathematical Biology". See DMS site for a list).
- Reviewers (3) selected by Program Officer for in-depth evaluation of grant proposal. Reviewers likely to be specialists in the subject area of the proposal.
- Panel review (10-15 members, including in-depth reviewers) to evaluate all proposals in the Disciplinary Research Program.

NSF – Evaluation Criteria

Intellectual Merit

- Importance of the proposed activity to advancing knowledge, within its own field and in related areas.
- Qualifications of the researcher to conduct the proposed study.
- Creativity and originality in the proposal.
- How well conceived and organized is the proposed activity?

Broader Impact

- Does the activity advance discovery while promoting teaching, training and learning?
- Does it enhance the infrastructure for research and education?
- Mentoring activities.
- Wider dissemination of results to enhance scientific understanding.