

Amalgamated Free Product $G = A *_C B$

$\hat{\text{Aut}}$ = stable automorphisms

Theorem There is an exact sequence

$$\hat{\text{Aut}}_G(k) \rightarrow \hat{\text{Aut}}_A(k) \times \hat{\text{Aut}}_B(k) \rightarrow \hat{\text{Aut}}_C(k) \xrightarrow{\delta} T(G) \xrightarrow{(\text{res}_C^A, \text{res}_C^B)} T(A) \times T(B) \xrightarrow{\text{res}_C^A - \text{res}_C^B} T(C)$$

Naive approach: Given $M \in kA\text{-Mod}$, $N \in kB\text{-Mod}$, such that $M \downarrow_C \cong N \downarrow_C$ (i.e. stably isomorphic) we can arrange representatives in the stable isomorphism classes such that

$M \downarrow_C \cong N \downarrow_C$ (genuine isomorphism) (ex)

Let $\varphi: M \downarrow_C \rightarrow N \downarrow_C$ be such an isomorphism.

Define a kG -module $\mathcal{C}(M, N; \varphi)$ to be M as a vector space with G action $*$

$$a * m = am$$

$$b * m = \varphi^{-1}(b \varphi(m)) \quad \text{call this } \varphi^* N; \quad \varphi^* N \xrightarrow[\text{iso}]{\varphi} N$$

these agree on C so do define a kG -module.

Note $\mathcal{C}(M, N; \varphi) \downarrow_A = M$, $\mathcal{C}(M, N; \varphi) \downarrow_B = \varphi^* N \cong N$

More sophisticated approach:

Define $D(M, N; \varphi)$ as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \downarrow_c \uparrow^G & \longrightarrow & M \uparrow^G \\ \varphi \uparrow^G \downarrow & & \\ N \downarrow_c \uparrow^G & \longrightarrow & N \uparrow^G \end{array}$$

gives

$$M \downarrow_c \uparrow^G \longrightarrow M \uparrow^G \oplus N \uparrow^G$$

$$g \otimes m \longmapsto (g \otimes m, g \otimes \varphi(m))$$

Let $D(M, N; \varphi)$ be the cone. This only depends on stable data.

Fact: $A \ast_c B$ acts on a graph; two orbits of vertices stabilisers A, B and one orbit of edges stabiliser C

Chain complex

$$k \uparrow_c^C \longrightarrow k \uparrow_A^C \oplus k \uparrow_B^C \longrightarrow k \quad \text{exact}$$

Tensor with $C(M, N; \varphi)$

$$M \downarrow_c \uparrow^G \longrightarrow M \uparrow^G \oplus \varphi^* N \uparrow^G \longrightarrow C(M, N; \varphi)$$

$$g \otimes m \longmapsto (g \otimes m, g \otimes \varphi(m))$$

so $C(M, N; \varphi) \simeq D(M, N; \varphi)$.

To see that δ is a group homomorphism note that by construction

$$C(\tilde{k}, \tilde{k}; \varphi_1) \otimes C(\tilde{k}, \tilde{k}; \varphi_2) = C(\tilde{k} \otimes \tilde{k}, \tilde{k} \otimes \tilde{k}; \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2)$$

If M and N are endotrivial then so is $C(M, N; \varphi)$.
 This is because we only have to check the restrictions to finite subgroups and any finite subgroup is conjugate to a subgroup of A or of B .

This proves exactness at $T(A) \times T(B)$

The map $\widehat{\text{Aut}}_c(k) \xrightarrow{\delta} T(C)$ is $\varphi \mapsto C(k, k; \varphi)$

We need to check that i) this is a group homomorphism

ii) It only depends on the stable class of φ (later).

If $M \in kG\text{-Mod}$ and $M \downarrow_A \xrightarrow{\theta_1} k$, $M \downarrow_B \xrightarrow{\theta_2} k$ then

$M \downarrow_{A \cap B} = M \downarrow_{B \cap A}$ gives a map $\varphi = \theta_2 \theta_1^{-1} \in \text{Aut}_c(k)$.

and $M \cong C(k, k; \varphi)$ (check).

This proves exactness at $T(G)$ and $\widehat{\text{Aut}}_c(k)$.

HNN extension $G = H \ast_{(f,A)}$

$$A \leq H, \quad f: A \hookrightarrow H.$$

$$G = \langle H, t \mid tat^{-1} = f(a) \rangle$$

Theorem There is an exact sequence

$$\hat{\text{Aut}}_k(k) \longrightarrow \hat{\text{Aut}}_H(k) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}_A(k) \xrightarrow{\delta} T(G) \xrightarrow{\text{res}_H^G} T(H) \xrightarrow{\text{res}_A^H - f^* \text{res}_A^H} T(A)$$

Claim: given $M \in kH\text{-Mod}$ and $M \downarrow_A \xrightarrow[\Theta]{\sim} f^* M \downarrow_{f(A)}$ i.e. $\Theta(am) = f(a)\Theta(m)$
 we can arrange that Θ is a genuine isomorphism of modules.

Define $E(M; \Theta)$ to be M as a vector space, with G action

$$h \ast m = hm$$

$$t \ast m = \Theta(m)$$

Check: $(tat^{-1}) \ast m = \Theta(a\Theta^{-1}(m)) = f(a)\Theta\Theta^{-1}(m) = f(a) \ast m.$

Any finite subgroup of G is conjugate to a subgroup of H and $E(M; \Theta) \downarrow_H \cong M$, so if M is endotrivial so is $E(M; \Theta)$.

Define $\delta(\Theta) = E(k; \Theta)$.

Or

Consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 g \otimes m & M \downarrow \uparrow^G & \longrightarrow M \uparrow^G \\
 \downarrow & \downarrow & \\
 g \otimes' \otimes(m) & M \downarrow \uparrow^G & \longrightarrow M \uparrow^G
 \end{array}$$

gives

$$M \downarrow \uparrow^G \longrightarrow M \uparrow^G$$

$$g \otimes m \longmapsto g \otimes m - g \otimes' \otimes(m)$$

Let $F(M; \Theta)$ be the cone.

More generally, if G is the fundamental group of a graph of groups we have

$$\hat{\text{Aut}}_G(k) \rightarrow \prod \hat{\text{Aut}}_{G_v}(k) \rightarrow \prod \hat{\text{Aut}}_{G_e}(k) \rightarrow T(G) \rightarrow \prod_{\text{vertices}} T(G_v) \rightarrow \prod_{\text{edges}} T(G_e)$$