

Asymmetrical Matching and Equilibrium in Hedonic Markets

A Labor Market Example

Bruno L'Espérance¹ and Ivar Ekeland²

¹Department of Mathematics
University of British Columbia

²Department of Mathematics
University of British Columbia

Transportation, Optimization, Equilibrium in Economics,
July 2008

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Model
 - Assumptions
 - General Setup
 - Definition of Equilibrium
- 3 Results and Sketch of Proofs
 - Existence
 - Non-Uniqueness
 - Social Planner
- 4 Conclusion and Further Research

Review of the Literature

- Rosen 1974 and Mussa and Rosen (1978): Hedonic prices in pure competition (one dimensional case)
- Rochet and Choné (1998): Consider the case of monopoly pricing
- Carlier (2002): Solve a class of transportation problems using the h-Fenchel transform
- Ekeland (2003): Optimal matching theory
- Ekeland (2005): Hedonic markets in pure competition and multidimensional qualities
- Chiappori, McCann and Nesheim (2007): Hedonic price equilibria, stable matching and optimal transport equivalence

Overview of the Problem

- We consider the equilibrium problem in a labor market with heterogeneous workers and employers
- The workers have to decide which job they want to execute and the quantity of goods they want to consume
- The employers have to decide how many workers to hire
- There is a consumption good and a quality good (the job)
- This problem is NOT a one-to-one matching but a n to one matching

Overview of the Problem

- Workers' problem:

$$\max_{z, \xi} \{ (p(z) - \pi \xi) - u(x, z, \xi) \} \quad (1)$$

where:

- x is the type of the worker
- $p(z)$ is the salary the worker receives
- π is the unit price of the good

Overview of the Problem

- Employers' problem:

$$\max_{z,n} \{v(y, z, n) - np(z)\} \quad (2)$$

where:

- y is the type of the employer
- n is the number of job z that the employer will hire

Overview of the Problem

- Mathematically speaking, we will eventually need to solve the following problem:

$$\inf_{p \in \mathcal{A}} \left\{ \int_Y \frac{[p^r(y)]^2}{2c(y)} d\nu(y) - \int_X p^w(x) d\mu(x) \right\} \quad (3)$$

- where:

$$p^w(x) := \max_{z, \xi} \{p(z) - w(x, z, \xi)\} \quad (4)$$

$$p^r(y) := \max_z \{r(y, z) - p(z)\} \quad (5)$$

Main Results

Here are some of the main results we have obtained

- 1 The equilibrium exists
- 2 The equilibrium salary is not unique
- 3 The equilibrium is Pareto optimal

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 **Model**
 - Assumptions
 - General Setup
 - Definition of Equilibrium
- 3 Results and Sketch of Proofs
 - Existence
 - Non-Uniqueness
 - Social Planner
- 4 Conclusion and Further Research

Assumptions

- Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{d_1}$, $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$, $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^{d_3}$, $N \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ and $W \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ be compact subsets.
 - X set of worker's type,
 - Y set of employer's type
 - Z set of jobs
 - N is the possible number of workers an employer can hire
 - W is the possible quantity of good a worker can consume
- We are given non negative measures μ on X and ν on Y and typically $\mu(X) \neq \nu(Y)$.
- We assume that there is only one quantity good ζ which comes in infinite supply and sell at a price π per unit. The workers choose the quantity $\xi \in W$ of good ζ she wants to consume.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 **Model**
 - Assumptions
 - **General Setup**
 - Definition of Equilibrium
- 3 Results and Sketch of Proofs
 - Existence
 - Non-Uniqueness
 - Social Planner
- 4 Conclusion and Further Research

Multidimensional Job

Definition

A *job* z is indivisible and units differ by their characteristics $(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{d_3}) \in Z$. The bundle z is called a *multidimensional job* or a *job*.

Optimization Problems

- For the workers of type x the problem is given by:

$$\max_{z, \xi} \{ (p(z) - \pi\xi) - u(x, z, \xi) \} \quad (6)$$

- For notational convenience we introduce the following function:

$$w(x, z, \xi) := u(x, z, \xi) + \pi\xi \quad (7)$$

and consider the worker's problem to be:

$$\min_{z, \xi} \{ w(x, z, \xi) - p(z) \} \quad (8)$$

Optimization Problems

- For the employer of type y the problem is given by:

$$\max_{z,n} \{v(y, z, n) - np(z)\} \quad (9)$$

- We will consider a particular form for the function $v(y, z, n)$:

$$v(y, z, n) = nr(y, z) - \frac{n^2}{2}c(y) \quad (10)$$

Optimization Problems

- For this particular form of the function $v(y, z, n)$ the optimization problem becomes:

$$\max_{z,n} \left\{ nr(y, z) - \frac{n^2}{2} c(y) - np(z) \right\} \quad (11)$$

with the optimal n given as a function of y :

$$\frac{p^r(y)}{c(y)} \quad (12)$$

and the value is given by:

$$\frac{[p^r(y)]^2}{2c(y)} \quad (13)$$

Optimization Problems: Summary

- Workers:

$$\min_{z, \xi} \{w(x, z, \xi) - p(z)\} \quad (14)$$

- Employers:

$$\frac{[p^r(y)]^2}{2c(y)} \quad (15)$$

Bid and Ask Salaries

Definition

The *lowest ask salary* $a : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by:

$$a(z) = \min_{x, \xi} \{w(x, z, \xi)\} \quad (16)$$

and the *highest bid salary* $b : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by:

$$b(z) = \max_y \{r(y, z)\} \quad (17)$$

Theorem

If $a(z) > b(z)$ everywhere, then there is no possible equilibrium in the hedonic labor market.

Supply and Demand

Definition

Given a salary system p , we define:

$$S(x) = \arg \min \{ w(x, z, \xi) - p(z) \mid z \in Z, \xi \in W \} \quad (18)$$

$$D(y) = \arg \max \{ r(y, z) - p(z) \mid z \in Z \} \quad (19)$$

We shall refer to $S(x)$ as the *supply* of type x workers, and to $D(y)$ as the *demand* of type y employers.

- Remark: We need to distinguish between the demand for jobs and the quantity of a particular job demanded.

Supply and Demand

Definition

A *supply distribution* associated with p is a positive measure $\alpha_{X \times Z \times W}$ on $X \times Z \times W$ such that:

- $\alpha_{X \times Z \times W}$ is carried by the graph of $S(x)$
- its marginal $\alpha_X = \mu$

Similarly, a *demand distribution* associated with p is a positive measure $\beta_{Y \times Z}$ on $Y \times Z$ such that:

- $\beta_{Y \times Z}$ is carried by the graph of $D(y)$
- its marginal β_Y is equal to ν

Admissible Salary System

Definition

A job $z \in Z$ will be called *marketable* if $a(z) \leq b(z)$. The set of marketable qualities will be denoted by Z_1 .

Definition

A salary system $p : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ will be called *admissible* if:

$$\forall z \in Z_1, \quad a(z) \leq p(z) \leq b(z) \quad (20)$$

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 **Model**
 - Assumptions
 - General Setup
 - **Definition of Equilibrium**
- 3 Results and Sketch of Proofs
 - Existence
 - Non-Uniqueness
 - Social Planner
- 4 Conclusion and Further Research

Equilibrium

Definition

An *equilibrium* is a triplet $(p, \alpha_{X \times Z \times W}, \beta_{Y \times Z})$ where p is an admissible salary system and $\alpha_{X \times Z \times W}$ and $\beta_{Y \times Z}$ are supply and demand distributions associated with p , such that:

$$\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{K}(Z), \quad \int_{X \times W} \varphi(z) d\alpha(x, z, \xi) = \int_Y n(y) \varphi(z) d\beta(y, z)$$

where $\mathcal{K}(Z)$ is the space of continuous functions with compact support on Z .

From now on, we will denote the market clearing condition in the following way:

$$\alpha_Z[A] = \eta_Z[A] \quad \forall A \in Z$$

Let us write down explicitly all the conditions on (p, α, β) implied by the definition of equilibrium.

- $p : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, and $p(z) \in [a(z), b(z)]$ whenever $a(z) \leq b(z)$
- the marginal α_X is equal to μ
- the conditional probability P_x^α is carried by $S(x)$
- the marginal β_Y is equal to ν
- the conditional probability P_y^β is carried by $D(y)$
- the marginals α_Z and η_Z coincide on Z :

$$\alpha_Z[A] = \eta_Z[A] \quad \forall A \subset Z$$

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Model
 - Assumptions
 - General Setup
 - Definition of Equilibrium
- 3 Results and Sketch of Proofs
 - **Existence**
 - Non-Uniqueness
 - Social Planner
- 4 Conclusion and Further Research

Existence Theorem

Theorem (Existence)

Under the standing assumptions, there is an equilibrium.

Sketch of the Proof of Existence Theorem

- 1 Start by proving that the following problem has a solution:

$$(P) : \inf_{p \in \mathcal{A}} I(p) = \inf_{p \in \mathcal{A}} \left\{ \int_Y \frac{[p^r(y)]^2}{2c(y)} d\nu(y) - \int_X p^w(x) d\mu(x) \right\}$$

This is accomplish using the same techniques as in Ekeland 2005,.i.e., take a minimizing sequence and work a little bit.

- 2 Prove that the map $I(p)$ is convex and the set \mathcal{A} is non-empty, closed and convex.
- 3 Compute the subdifferential of the map $I(p)$, compute the normal cone of \mathcal{A} and show that the optimality condition $0 \in \partial I(p) + N_{\mathcal{A}}(p)$ give a characterization of the equilibrium.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Model
 - Assumptions
 - General Setup
 - Definition of Equilibrium
- 3 Results and Sketch of Proofs**
 - Existence
 - Non-Uniqueness**
 - Social Planner
- 4 Conclusion and Further Research

Non-Uniqueness

Proposition (Non uniqueness of equilibrium salary)

Let p be a solution of problem (P). Then, $p_b^{ww}(z)$ and $p_a^{rr}(z)$ are also solutions. More generally, if q is an admissible price schedule such that:

$$p^{rr}(z) \leq q(z) \leq p^{ww}(z) \quad \forall z \in Z \quad (22)$$

then q is a solution of problem (P).

"Proof": Use the properties of r -convex and w -concave functions and their conjugate.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Model
 - Assumptions
 - General Setup
 - Definition of Equilibrium
- 3 Results and Sketch of Proofs**
 - Existence
 - Non-Uniqueness
 - Social Planner**
- 4 Conclusion and Further Research

Social Planner's Problem

With every pair of demand and supply distribution we associate the following number which correspond to the social planner's problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & J(\alpha_{X \times Z \times W}, \beta_{Y \times Z}) \\
 \triangleq & \int_{Y \times Z} n(y)r(y, z) - \frac{n(y)^2}{2}c(y)d\beta - \int_{X \times Z \times W} w(x, z, \xi)d\alpha
 \end{aligned}$$

Pareto Optimality of Equilibrium Allocations

Theorem (Pareto optimality of equilibrium allocations)

Let $(p, \alpha_{X \times Z \times W}, \beta_{Y \times Z})$ be an equilibrium. Take any pair of supply and demand distributions $\alpha'_{X \times Z \times W}$ and $\beta'_{Y \times Z}$ such that $\alpha'_Z = \eta'_Z$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} J(\alpha'_{X \times Z \times W}, \beta'_{Y \times Z}) &\leq J(\alpha_{X \times Z \times W}, \beta_{Y \times Z}) \\ &= \int_Y \frac{[p^r(y)]^2}{2c(y)} d\nu - \int_X p^w(x) d\mu \end{aligned}$$

"Proof": Use conditional expectation and the fact that the utility functions are separable with respect to the salary $p(z)$.

Further Research

- Change the assumption about the function $v(y, z, n)$
- Introduce a budget constraint in our problem
- Allow the employers to stay out of the labor market
- Find a good numerical example
- Calibration with real data
- Developing a numerical method to solve multidimensional cases
- Consider the case where the employers can choose different qualities