Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneity

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

## Discount rates: the equilibrium approach

Elyès Jouini

PIMS, 2008

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

#### Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

#### Introduction

## The classical model

Beliefs heterogeneity

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic • A time horizon T and a filtered probability space  $\left(\Omega, F, (F_t)_{t \in [0, T]}, P\right)$ 

#### Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

Introduction

The classical model

Beliefs heterogeneity

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic • A time horizon T and a filtered probability space  $\left(\Omega, F, (F_t)_{t \in [0, T]}, P\right)$ 

- 日本 - 1 日本 - 1 日本 - 1 日本

• Agents indexed by i = 1, ... N,

#### Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

Introduction

- The classical model
- Beliefs heterogeneit
- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings
- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic

- A time horizon T and a filtered probability space  $\left(\Omega, F, (F_t)_{t \in [0,T]}, P\right)$
- Agents indexed by i = 1, ... N,
  - current endowment at time t denoted by  $e_t^{*'}$

#### Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

#### Introduction

## The classical model

- Beliefs heterogeneit
- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings
- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic

- A time horizon T and a filtered probability space  $\left(\Omega, F, (F_t)_{t \in [0, T]}, P\right)$
- Agents indexed by i = 1, ... N,
  - current endowment at time t denoted by  $e_t^{*'}$
  - VNM utility function of the form

$$E\left[\int_{0}^{T}u_{i}\left(t,c_{t}\left(\omega\right)\right)dt\right]$$

## The equilibrium

Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

Introduction

The classical model

Beliefs heterogeneity

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

$$\begin{array}{lll} e^{*} & \equiv & \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{*^{i}} \\ de^{*}_{t} & = & \displaystyle\mu_{t} e^{*}_{t} dt + \sigma_{t} e^{*}_{t} dW_{t} \qquad e^{*}_{0} = 1 \end{array}$$

### Definition (Arrow-Debreu equilibrium)

A positive price process  $q^*$  and optimal consumption plans  $(y^{*^i})_{i=1,...,N}$  s.t. markets clear, i.e.  $\sum_{i=1}^N y^{*^i} = e^*$  with

$$y^{*^{i}} = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{E\left[\int_{0}^{T}q_{t}\left(y_{t}^{i}-e_{t}\right)dt\right] \leq 0} E\left[\int_{0}^{T}u_{i}\left(t,c_{t}\right)dt\right]$$

Characterized by

$$u_{i}'\left(t,y_{t}^{*^{i}}
ight)=\lambda_{i}q_{t}^{*}$$
 , is if  $i\in\mathbb{N}$  ,  $i\in\mathbb{N}$ 

## The representative agent

Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

Introduction

The classical model

Beliefs heterogeneity

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

## Theorem (Negishi)

Let us consider u defined by

$$u(t,x) = \max_{\sum x_i = x} \sum \lambda_i u_i(t,x_i).$$

The equilibrium price  $q^*$  is an equilibrium price in the economy with 1 agent (representative agent) with an initial wealth  $e^*$ .

• The equilibrium is characterized by

$$u'(t,e_t^*)=q_t^*.$$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - の々ぐ

Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

Introduction

The classical model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic • We consider an asset with a (riskless) dynamics

$$dS_t^0 = r_t(t,\omega)S_t^0 dt$$

ヘロト ヘ週ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Ξ.

Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

Introduction

The classical model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic • We consider an asset with a (riskless) dynamics

$$dS_t^0 = r_t(t,\omega)S_t^0 dt$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ●□

• We have  $S_0^0 = E[q_t S_t]$ 

Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

Introduction

The classical model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic • We consider an asset with a (riskless) dynamics

$$dS_t^0 = r_t(t,\omega)S_t^0 dt$$

• We have 
$$S_0^0 = E\left[ q_t S_t 
ight]$$

• More generally, for  $B \in F_s$ 

$$E\left[ 1_B(q_tS_t-q_sS_s) 
ight] = 0$$
 (no arbitrage)

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

Introduction

The classical model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic • We consider an asset with a (riskless) dynamics

$$dS_t^0 = r_t(t,\omega)S_t^0 dt$$

• We have 
$$S_0^0 = E\left[ q_t S_t 
ight]$$

• More generally, for  $B \in F_s$ 

 $E\left[1_B(q_tS_t-q_sS_s)
ight]=0$  (no arbitrage)

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - の々ぐ

•  $qS^0$  is a martingale and

$$r_t = -\mu_{q^*}$$

## Short-term rate

Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

Introduction

The classical model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

### • Power utility functions

$$u(t,c) = \exp\left(-\int_0^t \rho_s ds\right) \times c^{1-rac{1}{\eta}}$$

・ロト ・ 一 ト ・ モト ・ モト

æ.

## Short-term rate

Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

Introduction

The classical model

Beliefs heterogeneity

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

## • Power utility functions

$$u(t,c) = \exp\left(-\int_0^t \rho_s ds\right) \times c^{1-\frac{1}{\eta}}$$

Short rate



wealth effect

precautionary saving

э

(日)、

## Discount factor and rate

Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

Introduction

The classical model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

$$egin{array}{rcl} A_t &=& E\left[q_t
ight] & ({ t Discount factor}) \ R_t &=& -rac{1}{t}\ln E\left[q_t
ight] & ({ t Discount rate}) \end{array}$$

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

æ

• If all the parameters are constant and no risk



## Discount factor and rate

Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

Introduction

The classical model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

$$egin{array}{rcl} A_t &=& E\left[q_t
ight] & ({ t Discount factor}) \ R_t &=& -rac{1}{t}\ln E\left[q_t
ight] & ({ t Discount rate}) \end{array}$$

• If all the parameters are constant and no risk



Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

Introduction

The classica model

#### Beliefs heterogeneity

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

• Agent *i* maximizes 
$$E^{Q^{i}}\left[\int_{0}^{T} u_{i}(t, c_{t}(\omega)) dt\right]$$
 with  $\frac{dQ^{i}}{dP} = M_{T}^{i}$  and  $dM_{t}^{i} = \delta_{t}^{i}M_{t}^{i}dW_{t}$ 

・ロト ・個ト ・モト ・モト

æ

Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

Introduction

The classica model

#### Beliefs heterogeneity

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

- Agent *i* maximizes  $E^{Q^{i}}\left[\int_{0}^{T} u_{i}(t, c_{t}(\omega)) dt\right]$  with  $\frac{dQ^{i}}{dP} = M_{T}^{i}$  and  $dM_{t}^{i} = \delta_{t}^{i}M_{t}^{i}dW_{t}$
- From agent *i* point of view

$$\begin{aligned} de_t^* &= \mu_t^i e_t^* dt + \sigma_t e_t^* dW_t^{Q^i} \qquad e_0^* = 1 \\ \mu_t^i &= \mu_t + \delta_t^i \sigma_t \end{aligned}$$

Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

Introduction

The classica model

#### Beliefs heterogeneity

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

- Agent *i* maximizes  $E^{Q^{i}}\left[\int_{0}^{T} u_{i}(t, c_{t}(\omega)) dt\right]$  with  $\frac{dQ^{i}}{dP} = M_{T}^{i}$  and  $dM_{t}^{i} = \delta_{t}^{i}M_{t}^{i}dW_{t}$
- From agent *i* point of view

$$\begin{aligned} de_t^* &= \mu_t^i e_t^* dt + \sigma_t e_t^* dW_t^{Q^i} \qquad e_0^* = 1 \\ \mu_t^i &= \mu_t + \delta_t^i \sigma_t \end{aligned}$$

• Divergence of opinion about the growth rate

Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

Introduction

The classica model

#### Beliefs heterogeneity

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

- Agent *i* maximizes  $E^{Q^{i}}\left[\int_{0}^{T} u_{i}(t, c_{t}(\omega)) dt\right]$  with  $\frac{dQ^{i}}{dP} = M_{T}^{i}$  and  $dM_{t}^{i} = \delta_{t}^{i}M_{t}^{i}dW_{t}$
- From agent *i* point of view

$$de_t^* = \mu_t^i e_t^* dt + \sigma_t e_t^* dW_t^{Q^i} \qquad e_0^* = 1$$
  
$$\mu_t^i = \mu_t + \delta_t^i \sigma_t$$

- Divergence of opinion about the growth rate
- $u_i(t, c_t(\omega)) = D_t^i c^{1-\frac{1}{\eta}}$ , with  $D_t^i \equiv \exp\left(-\int_0^t \rho^i(s, \omega) \, ds\right)$  (heterogeneous time preference rates)

#### Equilibrium approach Elvès Iouini

Introduction

The classica model

#### Beliefs heterogeneity

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic • Representative agent ? (consensus belief, consensus time preference rate)

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

#### Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

- Introduction
- The classica model

#### Beliefs heterogeneity

- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings
- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic

• Representative agent ? (consensus belief, consensus time preference rate)

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - の々ぐ

• Socially efficient discount factor = average of the individually anticipated ones?

#### Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

- Introduction
- The classica model

#### Beliefs heterogeneity

- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings
- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic

• Representative agent ? (consensus belief, consensus time preference rate)

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - の々ぐ

- Socially efficient discount factor = average of the individually anticipated ones?
- Risk-free rates and discount rates ?

#### Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

- Introduction
- The classica model

#### Beliefs heterogeneity

- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings
- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic

- Representative agent ? (consensus belief, consensus time preference rate)
  - Socially efficient discount factor = average of the individually anticipated ones?
  - Risk-free rates and discount rates ?
  - Beliefs dispersion  $\rightarrow$  additional risk or uncertainty  $\rightarrow$  lower discount rates ?

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - の々ぐ

#### Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

- Introduction
- The classica model

#### Beliefs heterogeneity

- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings
- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic

- Representative agent ? (consensus belief, consensus time preference rate)
  - Socially efficient discount factor = average of the individually anticipated ones?
  - Risk-free rates and discount rates ?
  - Beliefs dispersion  $\rightarrow$  additional risk or uncertainty  $\rightarrow$  lower discount rates ?

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - の々ぐ

• DDR ? Trajectory of the decline ?

Equilibrium approach Elvès Jouini

Introduction

The classica model

#### Beliefs heterogeneity

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic • Weitzman (1998) : « To think about the distant future in terms of standard discounting is to have an uneasy intuitive feeling that something is wrong somewhere»

Equilibrium approach Elvès Iouini

Introduction

The classica model

#### Beliefs heterogeneity

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

- Weitzman (1998) : « To think about the distant future in terms of standard discounting is to have an uneasy intuitive feeling that something is wrong somewhere»
- DDR in a deterministic setting: known changes in growth rate and/or in risk aversion

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - の々ぐ

Equilibrium approach

Introduction

The classica model

#### Beliefs heterogeneity

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

- Weitzman (1998) : « To think about the distant future in terms of standard discounting is to have an uneasy intuitive feeling that something is wrong somewhere»
- DDR in a deterministic setting: known changes in growth rate and/or in risk aversion

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - の々ぐ

DDR with uncertainty

Equilibrium approach

Introduction

The classica model

#### Beliefs heterogeneity

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

- Weitzman (1998) : « To think about the distant future in terms of standard discounting is to have an uneasy intuitive feeling that something is wrong somewhere»
- DDR in a deterministic setting: known changes in growth rate and/or in risk aversion
- DDR with uncertainty
  - Uncertainty on the discount rate itself (certainty equivalent analysis, Weitzman, 1998, 2001)

Equilibrium approach

Introduction

The classica model

#### Beliefs heterogeneity

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

- Weitzman (1998) : « To think about the distant future in terms of standard discounting is to have an uneasy intuitive feeling that something is wrong somewhere»
- DDR in a deterministic setting: known changes in growth rate and/or in risk aversion
- DDR with uncertainty
  - Uncertainty on the discount rate itself (certainty equivalent analysis, Weitzman, 1998, 2001)
  - Uncertain growth : Gollier (2002a and b, 2007), Weitzman (2004)

Equilibrium approach

Introduction

The classica model

#### Beliefs heterogeneity

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

- Weitzman (1998) : « To think about the distant future in terms of standard discounting is to have an uneasy intuitive feeling that something is wrong somewhere»
- DDR in a deterministic setting: known changes in growth rate and/or in risk aversion
- DDR with uncertainty
  - Uncertainty on the discount rate itself (certainty equivalent analysis, Weitzman, 1998, 2001)
  - Uncertain growth : Gollier (2002a and b, 2007), Weitzman (2004)

• Sustainable welfare function à la Chilchinisky (1997) and Li and Löfgren (2000).

Equilibrium approach

Introduction

The classica model

#### Beliefs heterogeneity

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

- Weitzman (1998) : « To think about the distant future in terms of standard discounting is to have an uneasy intuitive feeling that something is wrong somewhere»
- DDR in a deterministic setting: known changes in growth rate and/or in risk aversion
- DDR with uncertainty
  - Uncertainty on the discount rate itself (certainty equivalent analysis, Weitzman, 1998, 2001)
  - Uncertain growth : Gollier (2002a and b, 2007), Weitzman (2004)
- Sustainable welfare function à la Chilchinisky (1997) and Li and Löfgren (2000).
- Empirical and experimental evidence: individual hyperbolic discounters.

# The equilibrium

Equilibrium approach Flyès Jouini

Introduction

The classica model

#### Beliefs heterogeneity

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

## Definition

Arrow-Debreu equilibrium : a positive price process  $q^*$  and a family of optimal consumption plans  $(y^{*'})_{i=1,...,N}$  such that markets clear, i.e.

$$\begin{cases} y^{*^{i}} = y^{i} \left( q^{*}, M^{i}, D^{i}, e^{*^{i}} \right) \\ \sum_{i=1}^{N} y^{*^{i}} = e^{*} \end{cases}$$

where

$$y^{i}(q, M, D, e) = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{E\left[\int_{0}^{T} q_{t}\left(y_{t}^{i}-e_{t}\right)dt\right] \leq 0} E\left[\int_{0}^{T} M_{t}D_{t}u\left(c_{t}\right)dt\right].$$

### Characterized by

$$D_t^i M_t^i u' \left( y_t^{*^i} \right) = \lambda_i q_t^*$$

# Aggregation of individual beliefs and time-preferences

Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneit

#### Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic We let  $N^i$  denote the individual composite characteristic  $M^i D^i$ .

### Theorem

We have 
$$q_t^* = N_t u'(e_t^*)$$
 with  $N = \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_i \left(N_t^i\right)^{\eta}\right]^{1/\eta}$   
Furthermore,  $N = BDM$  with

$$dM_{t} = \delta_{M}M_{t}dW_{t}, \qquad \delta_{M} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tau_{i}\delta^{i}$$
  

$$dB_{t} = \rho_{B}B_{t}dt, \qquad \rho_{D} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tau_{i}\rho^{i}$$
  

$$\rho_{B} = \frac{\eta - 1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \tau_{i} \left(\delta^{i}\right)^{2} - \delta_{M}^{2}\right) = \frac{\eta - 1}{2} Var^{\tau} \left(\delta^{i}\right)$$

**N /** 

# Consensus Arrow-Debreu prices and consensus socially efficient discount factors

Equilibrium approach

Introduction

Corollary We have

- The classical model
- Beliefs heterogeneit
- Aggregation

Discount factors

- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic •  $q^{i^*}$  equilibrium price if agent *i* only

 $q_t^* = \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_i \left(q_t^{i^*}
ight)^\eta
ight]^{1/\eta}$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへ⊙

# Consensus Arrow-Debreu prices and consensus socially efficient discount factors

Equilibrium approach

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneity

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic •  $q^{i^*}$  equilibrium price if agent *i* only

Corollary We have $q_{t}^{*} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{i} \left(q_{t}^{i^{*}}\right)^{\eta}\right]^{1/\eta}$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへ⊙

•  $A_t \equiv E[q_t^*]$ , discount factor

# Consensus Arrow-Debreu prices and consensus socially efficient discount factors

Equilibrium approach

Introduction

Corollary We have

- The classica model
- Beliefs heterogeneit
- Aggregation

Discount factors

- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings
- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic

•  $q^{i^*}$  equilibrium price if agent *i* only

 $egin{aligned} m{q}_t^* &= \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_i \left(m{q}_t^{i^*}
ight)^\eta
ight]^{1/\eta} \end{aligned}$ 

- $A_t \equiv E[q_t^*]$ , discount factor
- $A_t^i \equiv E\left[q_t^{i^*}\right]$ , discount factor if agent *i* only
# Consensus Arrow-Debreu prices and consensus socially efficient discount factors

Equilibrium approach

Introduction

The classical model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic •  $q^{i^*}$  equilibrium price if agent *i* only

Corollary *We have* 

$$q_{t}^{*}=\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N}\gamma_{i}\left(q_{t}^{i^{*}}
ight)^{\eta}
ight]^{1/\eta}$$

- $A_t \equiv E[q_t^*]$ , discount factor
- $A_t^i \equiv E\left[q_t^{i^*}\right]$ , discount factor if agent *i* only
- Can the socially efficient discount factor A<sub>t</sub> be represented as an average of the individual A<sup>i</sup><sub>t</sub>?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Equilibrium approach Elvès Iouini

### Theorem

• If 
$$\delta^{i}\equiv\delta$$
 and  $ho^{i}\left(s,\omega
ight)\equiv
ho^{i}\left(s
ight)$  ,

$$egin{aligned} egin{aligned} eta_t &= \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_i \left(eta_t^i
ight)^\eta
ight]^{1/\eta} \end{aligned}$$

<ロト <回ト < 注ト < 注ト

æ

Discount

factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

### Theorem

٥

If 
$$\delta^{i} \equiv \delta$$
 and  $\rho^{i}(s, \omega) \equiv \rho^{i}(s)$ ,  

$$\begin{bmatrix} N \\ N \end{bmatrix} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho^{i}(s) \right)^{1}$$

$$A_{t} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{i} \left(A_{t}^{i}\right)^{\eta}\right]^{1/2}$$

1

.

• If 
$$\eta = 1$$
,  $A_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_i \left( A_t^i \right)$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへ⊙

Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

### Theorem

If 
$$\delta^{i}\equiv\delta$$
 and  $ho^{i}\left(s,\omega
ight)\equiv
ho^{i}\left(s
ight)$  ,

$$A_{t} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{i} \left(A_{t}^{i}\right)^{\eta}\right]^{1/\eta}$$

• If 
$$\eta = 1$$
,  $A_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_i \left(A_t^i\right)$   
• If  $\eta \neq 1$ ,

.

Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

#### Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

### Theorem • If $\delta^i$

• If

$$\delta^{i} \equiv \delta$$
 and  $\rho^{i}(s, \omega) \equiv \rho^{i}(s)$ ,  
 $A_{t} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{i} \left(A_{t}^{i}\right)^{\eta}\right]^{1/\eta}$   
 $\eta = 1, \qquad A_{t} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{i} \left(A_{t}^{i}\right)$ 

• If 
$$\eta 
eq 1$$
,

• 
$$A_t \leq \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_i \left(A_t^i\right)^\eta\right]^{1/\eta}$$
 for  $\eta < 1$ ,

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三回 - のんの

Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

Theorem

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

# • If $\delta^{i} \equiv \delta$ and $\rho^{i}(s, \omega) \equiv \rho^{i}(s)$ , $A_{t} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{i} \left(A_{t}^{i}\right)^{\eta}\right]^{1/\eta}.$ • If $\eta = 1$ , $A_{t} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{i} \left(A_{t}^{i}\right)$ • If $\eta \neq 1$ , • $A_{t} \leq \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{i} \left(A_{t}^{i}\right)^{\eta}\right]^{1/\eta}$ for $\eta < 1$ ,

•  $A_t \geq \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_i \left(A_t^i\right)^{\eta}\right]^{1/\eta}$  for  $\eta > 1$ 

Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneity

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

#### Theorem

• If 
$$\delta^{i} \equiv \delta$$
 and  $\rho^{i}(s, \omega) \equiv \rho^{i}(s)$ ,  
$$A_{t} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{i} \left(A_{t}^{i}\right)^{\eta}\right]^{1/\eta}$$

If 
$$\eta = 1$$
,  $A_t = \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_i \left( A_t^i \right)$   
If  $\eta \neq 1$ ,

• 
$$A_t \leq \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_i \left(A_t^i\right)^{\eta}\right]^{1/\eta}$$
 for  $\eta < 1$ ,  
•  $A_t \geq \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_i \left(A_t^i\right)^{\eta}\right]^{1/\eta}$  for  $\eta > 1$ 

 equality only when divergence is deterministic (N<sub>i</sub> / N<sub>j</sub> is deterministic for all i, j).

Equilibrium approach

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic • The equilibrium approach is compatible with Weitzman's assumption (arithmetic average discount factor) if

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ●□

Equilibrium approach

- Elyés Jouin
- Introduction
- The classica model
- Beliefs heterogeneit
- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings
- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic

• The equilibrium approach is compatible with Weitzman's assumption (arithmetic average discount factor) if

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Logarithmic utility functions

Equilibrium approach

- Elyès Jouin
- Introduction
- The classica model
- Beliefs heterogeneit
- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings
- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic

- The equilibrium approach is compatible with Weitzman's assumption (arithmetic average discount factor) if
  - Logarithmic utility functions
  - Each scenario/expertise corresponds to a subjective discount factor (different μ's or ρ's)

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Equilibrium approach

- Elyès Jouin
- Introduction
- The classica model
- Beliefs heterogeneit
- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings
- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic

- The equilibrium approach is compatible with Weitzman's assumption (arithmetic average discount factor) if
  - Logarithmic utility functions
  - Each scenario/expertise corresponds to a subjective discount factor (different μ's or ρ's)

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Well chosen weights

Equilibrium approach

- Elyès Jouin
- Introduction
- The classica model
- Beliefs heterogeneit
- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings
- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic

- The equilibrium approach is compatible with Weitzman's assumption (arithmetic average discount factor) if
  - Logarithmic utility functions
  - Each scenario/expertise corresponds to a subjective discount factor (different μ's or ρ's)

- Well chosen weights
- In general,

Equilibrium approach

- Elyès Jouin
- Introduction
- The classica model
- Beliefs heterogeneity
- Aggregation

Discount factors

- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

- The equilibrium approach is compatible with Weitzman's assumption (arithmetic average discount factor) if
  - Logarithmic utility functions
  - Each scenario/expertise corresponds to a subjective discount factor (different μ'<sub>i</sub>s or ρ'<sub>i</sub>s)
  - Well chosen weights
- In general,
  - () the right concept of average is the  $\eta$ -average

Equilibrium approach

- Elyès Jouin
- Introduction
- The classica model
- Beliefs heterogeneity
- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings
- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic

- The equilibrium approach is compatible with Weitzman's assumption (arithmetic average discount factor) if
  - Logarithmic utility functions
  - Each scenario/expertise corresponds to a subjective discount factor (different μ'<sub>i</sub>s or ρ'<sub>i</sub>s)

- Well chosen weights
- In general,
  - **()** the right concept of average is the  $\eta$ -average
  - Provide the average is a weighted average

Equilibrium approach

- Elyès Jouin
- Introduction
- The classica model
- Beliefs heterogeneity
- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings
- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic

- The equilibrium approach is compatible with Weitzman's assumption (arithmetic average discount factor) if
  - Logarithmic utility functions
  - Each scenario/expertise corresponds to a subjective discount factor (different μ's or ρ's)
  - Well chosen weights
- In general,
  - **1** the right concept of average is the  $\eta$ -average
  - Interace is a weighted average
  - A can not be reduced to this average : there is an aggregation bias (upward or downward depending on η)

Equilibrium approach

- Elyès Jouin
- Introduction
- The classica model
- Beliefs heterogeneity
- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings
- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic

- The equilibrium approach is compatible with Weitzman's assumption (arithmetic average discount factor) if
  - Logarithmic utility functions
  - Each scenario/expertise corresponds to a subjective discount factor (different μ's or ρ's)
  - Well chosen weights
- In general,
  - **()** the right concept of average is the  $\eta$ -average
  - The average is a weighted average
  - A can not be reduced to this average : there is an aggregation bias (upward or downward depending on η)
- Beliefs heterogeneity can be interpreted as more risk/uncertainty or less information : same impact on the trade-off between today's consumption and future consumption (Gollier-Kimball 1996, Gollier, 2000)



▲ロト ▲冊 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ● の Q @

Average level (patience, pessimism)



$$= r^{f}(\textit{stdard}) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tau_{i} \rho^{i} + \frac{1}{\eta} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tau_{i} \delta^{i} \right) \sigma - \frac{\eta - 1}{2} \textit{Var}^{\tau} (\sigma^{i})$$

stand prec sav

- Average level (patience, pessimism)
- Correlation

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Agg bias



- Average level (patience, pessimism)
- Correlation
- Beliefs dispersion (depends on  $\eta > 1, \eta < 1$ ). For  $\eta > 1$ : more risk  $\Rightarrow$  more saving  $\Rightarrow$  downward pressure on  $r^{f_{\pm}}$



- Average level (patience, pessimism)
- Correlation
- Beliefs dispersion (depends on  $\eta > 1, \eta < 1$ ). For  $\eta > 1$ : more risk  $\Rightarrow$  more saving  $\Rightarrow$  downward pressure on  $r^{f_{\pm}}$

Equilibrium approach

Elyès Jouin

Introduction

The classic model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

#### Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

#### Theorem

Suppose that for all *i*, the individual asymptotic discount rate  $R_{\infty}^{i} \equiv \lim_{t \leq T; t, T \to \infty} R_{t}^{T,i}$  exists. Moreover, we suppose  $\gamma_{I}(T) \geq \varepsilon > 0$  for  $R_{\infty}^{I} = \inf \{R_{\infty}^{i}; i = 1, ..., N\}$ . Then,  $R_{\infty} \equiv \lim_{t \leq T: t, T \to \infty} R_{t}^{T} = \inf \{R_{\infty}^{i}, i = 1, ..., N\}$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• The aggregation bias vanishes in the long run

Equilibrium approach

Elyes Jouin

Introduction

The classic model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

#### Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

#### Theorem

Suppose that for all *i*, the individual asymptotic discount rate  $R_{\infty}^{i} \equiv \lim_{t \leq T; t, T \to \infty} R_{t}^{T,i}$  exists. Moreover, we suppose  $\gamma_{I}(T) \geq \varepsilon > 0$  for  $R_{\infty}^{I} = \inf \{R_{\infty}^{i}; i = 1, ..., N\}$ . Then,  $R_{\infty} \equiv \lim_{t \leq T; t, T \to \infty} R_{t}^{T} = \inf \{R_{\infty}^{i}, i = 1, ..., N\}$ .

- The aggregation bias vanishes in the long run
- The relevant asymptotic behavior is the one with the lowest discount rate

Equilibrium approach

The classic model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

#### Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

#### Theorem

Suppose that for all *i*, the individual asymptotic discount rate  $R_{\infty}^{i} \equiv \lim_{t \leq T; t, T \to \infty} R_{t}^{T,i}$  exists. Moreover, we suppose  $\gamma_{I}(T) \geq \varepsilon > 0$  for  $R_{\infty}^{I} = \inf \{R_{\infty}^{i}; i = 1, ..., N\}$ . Then,  $R_{\infty} \equiv \lim_{t \leq T; t, T \to \infty} R_{t}^{T} = \inf \{R_{\infty}^{i}, i = 1, ..., N\}$ .

- The aggregation bias vanishes in the long run
- The relevant asymptotic behavior is the one with the lowest discount rate
  - Homogeneous beliefs : lowest rate of impatience (Gollier-Zeckhauser in a deterministic setting)

Equilibrium approach

Introduction

The classic model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

#### Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

#### Theorem

Suppose that for all *i*, the individual asymptotic discount rate  $R_{\infty}^{i} \equiv \lim_{t \leq T; t, T \to \infty} R_{t}^{T,i}$  exists. Moreover, we suppose  $\gamma_{I}(T) \geq \varepsilon > 0$  for  $R_{\infty}^{I} = \inf \{R_{\infty}^{i}; i = 1, ..., N\}$ . Then,  $R_{\infty} \equiv \lim_{t \leq T; t, T \to \infty} R_{t}^{T} = \inf \{R_{\infty}^{i}, i = 1, ..., N\}$ .

- The aggregation bias vanishes in the long run
- The relevant asymptotic behavior is the one with the lowest discount rate
  - Homogeneous beliefs : lowest rate of impatience (Gollier-Zeckhauser in a deterministic setting)
  - Homogeneous time preference rate : most pessimistic rate

Equilibrium approach

Introduction

The classic model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

#### Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

#### Theorem

Suppose that for all *i*, the individual asymptotic discount rate  $R_{\infty}^{i} \equiv \lim_{t \leq T; t, T \to \infty} R_{t}^{T,i}$  exists. Moreover, we suppose  $\gamma_{I}(T) \geq \varepsilon > 0$  for  $R_{\infty}^{I} = \inf \{R_{\infty}^{i}; i = 1, ..., N\}$ . Then,  $R_{\infty} \equiv \lim_{t \leq T; t, T \to \infty} R_{t}^{T} = \inf \{R_{\infty}^{i}, i = 1, ..., N\}$ .

- The aggregation bias vanishes in the long run
- The relevant asymptotic behavior is the one with the lowest discount rate
  - Homogeneous beliefs : lowest rate of impatience (Gollier-Zeckhauser in a deterministic setting)
  - Homogeneous time preference rate : most pessimistic rate

• 
$$\gamma_I(T) \geq \varepsilon > 0$$

Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

# Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

•  $A_t = \sum_i \gamma_i A_t^i$  (arithmetic average)

٠

Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

• 
$$A_t = \sum_i \gamma_i A_t^i$$
 (arithmetic average)

 $R_{t}^{T} = \mu - \sigma^{2} - \frac{1}{t} \log \left[ \sum_{i} \gamma_{i}^{T} \exp^{-(\rho^{i} + \sigma\delta^{i})t} \right]$   $R_{0} = \mu - \sigma^{2} + \sum_{i} w_{i} \left( \rho^{i} + \sigma\delta^{i} \right)$   $R_{\infty} = \mu - \sigma^{2} + \inf \left( \rho^{i} + \sigma\delta^{i} \right)$   $\gamma_{i}^{T} = \frac{w_{i}\rho^{i} \left( 1 - \exp - \rho^{i}T \right)^{-1}}{\sum_{j} w_{j}\rho^{j} \left( 1 - \exp - \rho^{j}T \right)^{-1}}$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ●□

۲

Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

• 
$$A_t = \sum_i \gamma_i A_t^i$$
 (arithmetic average)

 $R_t^T = \mu - \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{t} \log \left[ \sum_i \gamma_i^T \exp^{-(\rho^i + \sigma \delta^i)t} \right]$   $R_0 = \mu - \sigma^2 + \sum_i w_i \left( \rho^i + \sigma \delta^i \right)$   $R_{\infty} = \mu - \sigma^2 + \inf \left( \rho^i + \sigma \delta^i \right)$  $\gamma_i^T = \frac{w_i \rho^i \left( 1 - \exp - \rho^i T \right)^{-1}}{\sum_j w_j \rho^j \left( 1 - \exp - \rho^j T \right)^{-1}}$ 

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - の々ぐ

•  $R_0 \geq R_\infty$  and  $R_t^T$  decreases with t



Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic



 $w_1 = w_2, \delta_1 = -\delta_2$ different levels of  $\delta$  $R_t \searrow$  with  $\delta$ , pessim. limit same starting point  $\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{cov}(w,\delta) > 0 \\ R_t \nearrow \text{ with } \delta \text{ for small } t \\ R_t \searrow \text{ with } \delta \text{ for large } t \\ \neq \text{ starting point} \end{array}$ 

250

500

750

100

v

0.02

0.017

0.015

0.0125

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

#### Specific settings Power utility functions, eta<1



(日)、

э

### Specific settings Power utility functions, eta<1



- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic



- The equilibrium discount rates dominates the averages
- The η-average is a better approx., the distance is due to beliefs disp. and this effect may last for centuries

### Specific settings Power utility functions, eta<1



- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic



- The equilibrium discount rates dominates the averages
- The η-average is a better approx., the distance is due to beliefs disp. and this effect may last for centuries
- The three curves converge to the lowest discount rate

### Specific settings Power utility functions, eta>1



• The equilibrium discount rates is below the averages

(日) (同) (日) (日)

э

### Specific settings Power utility functions, eta>1



• The equilibrium discount rates is below the averages

(日)、

э

• The  $\eta$ -average is still a better approximation

stochastic

### Specific settings Power utility functions, eta>1



- The equilibrium discount rates is below the averages
- The  $\eta$ -average is still a better approximation
- The three curves converge to the lowest discount rate

# Divergence of experts' opinions

Equilibrium approach

Let us consider *n* experts :  $(R^i)_{i=1,...,n}$  as in Weitzman (2001)

• N groups of agents,

opinions Impact of stochastic

How to aggregate experts


Equilibrium approach

Elyés Jouin

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneity

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic Let us consider *n* experts :  $(R^i)_{i=1,...,n}$  as in Weitzman (2001)

- N groups of agents,
- $w_i \equiv$  relative size of group *i*,

Equilibrium approach

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic Let us consider *n* experts :  $(R^i)_{i=1,...,n}$  as in Weitzman (2001)

- N groups of agents,
- $w_i \equiv$  relative size of group *i*,
- $\rho_i \equiv$  pure time preference rate of the agents in group *i*,

Equilibrium approach

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic Let us consider *n* experts :  $(R^i)_{i=1,...,n}$  as in Weitzman (2001)

- N groups of agents,
- $w_i \equiv$  relative size of group i,
- $\rho_i \equiv$  pure time preference rate of the agents in group *i*,

•  $t \equiv$  the time at which a cost or benefit is incurred,

Equilibrium approach

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneity

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic Let us consider *n* experts :  $(R^i)_{i=1,...,n}$  as in Weitzman (2001)

- N groups of agents,
- $w_i \equiv$  relative size of group i,
- $\rho_i \equiv$  pure time preference rate of the agents in group *i*,

•  $t \equiv$  the time at which a cost or benefit is incurred,

• 
$$e_t^* \sim \ln \mathcal{N}((\mu_i - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i^2)t, \sigma_i^2t),$$

Equilibrium approach

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneity

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic Let us consider *n* experts :  $(R^i)_{i=1,...,n}$  as in Weitzman (2001)

- N groups of agents,
- $w_i \equiv$  relative size of group i,
- $\rho_i \equiv$  pure time preference rate of the agents in group *i*,

•  $t \equiv$  the time at which a cost or benefit is incurred,

• 
$$e_t^* \sim \ln \mathcal{N}((\mu_i - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i^2)t, \sigma_i^2t),$$

log utility functions

Equilibrium approach

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneity

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic Let us consider *n* experts :  $(R^i)_{i=1,...,n}$  as in Weitzman (2001)

- N groups of agents,
- $w_i \equiv$  relative size of group i,
- $\rho_i \equiv$  pure time preference rate of the agents in group *i*,
- $t \equiv$  the time at which a cost or benefit is incurred,

• 
$$e_t^* \sim \ln \mathcal{N}((\mu_i - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i^2)t, \sigma_i^2t),$$

- Iog utility functions
- $R^i \equiv \rho_i + \mu_i \sigma_i^2$ , equilibrium discount rate if the economy was made of group *i* agents only

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

$$R_t \equiv -\frac{1}{t} \ln \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{w_i \rho_i}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_j \rho_j} \exp - R^i t,$$
  

$$r_t \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{w_i \rho_i \exp(-r^i t)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_j \rho_j \exp(-r^j t)} r^i.$$

• the consensus discount rates are averages of the individual rates (as in Weitzman 1998)

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

$$R_t \equiv -\frac{1}{t} \ln \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{w_i \rho_i}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_j \rho_j} \exp -R^i t,$$
  

$$r_t \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{w_i \rho_i \exp(-r^i t)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_j \rho_j \exp(-r^j t)} r^i.$$

• the consensus discount rates are averages of the individual rates (as in Weitzman 1998)

 weighted averages, weights proportional to the pure time preference rates,

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

stochastic

$$R_t \equiv -\frac{1}{t} \ln \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{w_i \rho_i}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_j \rho_j} \exp -R^i t,$$
  

$$r_t \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{w_i \rho_i \exp(-r^i t)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_j \rho_j \exp(-r^j t)} r^i.$$

• the consensus discount rates are averages of the individual rates (as in Weitzman 1998)

 weighted averages, weights proportional to the pure time preference rates,

• bias towards the more impatient agents

Introduction

The classic model

Beliefs heterogenei

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

stochastic

In the case of homogeneous beliefs ( $\mu_i = \mu, \sigma_i = \sigma$ )

$$r_t \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{w_i \rho_i \exp\left(-\rho_i t\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_j \rho_j \exp\left(-\rho_j t\right)} \rho_i + \mu - \sigma^2.$$

• the expression involves the covariance between  $\rho_i$  and exp  $-\rho_i t$  as in Lengwiler (2005)

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic In the case of homogeneous beliefs ( $\mu_i = \mu, \sigma_i = \sigma$ )

$$r_t \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{w_i \rho_i \exp\left(-\rho_i t\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_j \rho_j \exp\left(-\rho_j t\right)} \rho_i + \mu - \sigma^2.$$

- the expression involves the covariance between  $\rho_i$  and exp  $-\rho_i t$  as in Lengwiler (2005)
- it gives the expression for the consensus utility discount rate

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic In the case of homogeneous beliefs ( $\mu_i = \mu, \sigma_i = \sigma$ )

$$r_t \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{w_i \rho_i \exp\left(-\rho_i t\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_j \rho_j \exp\left(-\rho_j t\right)} \rho_i + \mu - \sigma^2.$$

- the expression involves the covariance between  $\rho_i$  and exp  $-\rho_i t$  as in Lengwiler (2005)
- it gives the expression for the consensus utility discount rate

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - の々ぐ

 different from the one obtained by Gollier (2005) or Nocetti (2008)

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneity

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic In the case of homogeneous beliefs (  $\mu_i=\mu,\sigma_i=\sigma$  )

$$r_t \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{w_i \rho_i \exp\left(-\rho_i t\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_j \rho_j \exp\left(-\rho_j t\right)} \rho_i + \mu - \sigma^2.$$

- the expression involves the covariance between  $\rho_i$  and exp  $-\rho_i t$  as in Lengwiler (2005)
- it gives the expression for the consensus utility discount rate

- different from the one obtained by Gollier (2005) or Nocetti (2008)
- our weights are given by  $w_i \rho_i \exp -\rho_i t$  instead of  $\lambda_i \exp -\rho_i t$

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneity

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic In the case of homogeneous beliefs (  $\mu_i=\mu,\sigma_i=\sigma$  )

$$r_t \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{w_i \rho_i \exp\left(-\rho_i t\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_j \rho_j \exp\left(-\rho_j t\right)} \rho_i + \mu - \sigma^2.$$

- the expression involves the covariance between  $\rho_i$  and exp  $-\rho_i t$  as in Lengwiler (2005)
- it gives the expression for the consensus utility discount rate
- different from the one obtained by Gollier (2005) or Nocetti (2008)
- our weights are given by  $w_i \rho_i \exp -\rho_i t$  instead of  $\lambda_i \exp -\rho_i t$
- both approaches coincide if the Pareto weights are proportional to  $w_i \rho_i$ .

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rate

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

$$R_t \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{w_i \rho_i}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_j \rho_j} R^i,$$
  
$$r_t \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{w_i \rho_i}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_j \rho_j} r^i.$$

If  $\rho_i$  and  $b_i$  are independent,

$$r_t \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{w_i \exp - r^i t}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_j \exp - r^j t} r^i = r_t^{W}$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

æ



#### Equilibrium approach Elvès Jouini

#### Corollary

•  $R_t$  and  $r_t$  decrease with t,

- The classic model
- Beliefs heterogeneit
- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings

#### How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic The asymptotic equilibrium discount rates are given by the lowest individual discount rate, i.e.
P = r = inf, r<sup>i</sup> = inf, P<sup>i</sup>

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - の々ぐ

 $R_{\infty} = r_{\infty} = \inf_{i} r^{i} = \inf_{i} R^{i}.$ 

#### Equilibrium approach Flyès Jouini

#### . . .

- Introduction
- The classic model
- Beliefs heterogeneit
- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings

#### How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

# Corollary

- **1**  $R_t$  and  $r_t$  decrease with t,
- **②** The asymptotic equilibrium discount rates are given by the lowest individual discount rate, i.e.
   R<sub>∞</sub> = r<sub>∞</sub> = inf<sub>i</sub> r<sup>i</sup> = inf<sub>i</sub> R<sup>i</sup>.

•  $\rho_i = \rho$ , and normal distribution  $\mathcal{N}(m, v^2)$  on  $b_i = \mu_i - \sigma_i^2$ ,  $R_t = \rho + m - \frac{v^2}{2}t$  (Reinschmidt, 2002)

Equilibrium approach

How to aggregate experts opinions

#### Corollary **1** $R_{+}$ and $r_{+}$ decrease with t,

2 The asymptotic equilibrium discount rates are given by the lowest individual discount rate, i.e.  $R_{\infty} = r_{\infty} = \inf_{i} r^{i} = \inf_{i} R^{i}$ .

- **(**)  $\rho_i = \rho$ , and normal distribution  $\mathcal{N}(m, v^2)$  on  $b_i = \mu_i - \sigma_i^2$ ,  $R_t = \rho + m - \frac{v^2}{2}t$  (Reinschmidt, 2002)
- If  $\rho_i \sim \gamma(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$  and  $b_i = \mu_i \sigma_i^2 \sim \gamma(\alpha_2, \beta_2)$ independent, then  $r_t = \frac{\alpha_1 + 1}{\beta_1 + t} + \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta_2 + t}$

Equilibrium approach Elvès Jouini

How to

aggregate experts opinions

#### Corollary

- $R_t$  and  $r_t$  decrease with t,
- Provide the asymptotic equilibrium discount rates are given by the lowest individual discount rate, i.e.
   R<sub>∞</sub> = r<sub>∞</sub> = inf<sub>i</sub> r<sup>i</sup> = inf<sub>i</sub> R<sup>i</sup>.
- $\rho_i = \rho$ , and normal distribution  $\mathcal{N}(m, v^2)$  on  $b_i = \mu_i - \sigma_i^2$ ,  $R_t = \rho + m - \frac{v^2}{2}t$  (Reinschmidt, 2002)
- If  $\rho_i \sim \gamma(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$  and  $b_i = \mu_i \sigma_i^2 \sim \gamma(\alpha_2, \beta_2)$ independent, then  $r_t = \frac{\alpha_1 + 1}{\beta_1 + t} + \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta_2 + t}$
- As in 4. and  $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta$  then  $R^i \sim \gamma(\alpha, \beta)$  with  $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$  and  $r_t = r_t^W + \frac{1}{\beta+t}$ 
  - |▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲画▶ ▲画▶ | 画||||のへの

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic



Figure: Calibration with two independent gamma distr. on Weitzman (2001)'s data. We assume that the two distributions are homothetic and calibrate in order to fit the mean and the variance of the empirical distribution. Weitzman (2001)'s statistical model corresponds to  $\lambda = 1$ . We maximize the log-likelihood and obtain  $\lambda = 0.4116$ .



Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic Figure: Marginal discount rate curve through our calibration (upper curve) and discount rate curve of Weitzman (2001) (lower curve). The intermediate curve represents, with our calibration, the unweighted average.

Introduction

The classic model

Beliefs heterogenei

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

Time period	Name	Numerical value	Approx. rate	Weitzman's num. value	Weitzman' appr. rate
Within years 1	Immediate	4.99%	5%	3.89%	4%
to 5 hence	Future				
Within years 6	Near	4.23%	4%	3.22%	3%
to 25 hence	Future				
Within years 26	Medium	2.82%	3%	2.00%	2%
to 75 hence	Future				
Within years 76	Distant	1.50%	1.5%	0.97%	1%
to 300 hence	Future				
Within years	Far-Distant	0.16%	0%	0.08%	0%
more than $300$ hence	Future				

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

æ

### The gamma distribution case

- Equilibrium approach
- Introduction
- The classica model
- Beliefs heterogeneit
- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings
- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic

• a decrease in the mean  $m_2$  or an increase in the variance  $v_2^2$  of the individual beliefs  $(b_i)$  decreases the marginal discount rate  $r_t$ 

### The gamma distribution case

#### Equilibrium approach

- Introduction
- The classica model
- Beliefs heterogeneit
- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings
- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic

a decrease in the mean m<sub>2</sub> or an increase in the variance v<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup> of the individual beliefs (b<sub>i</sub>) decreases the marginal discount rate r<sub>t</sub>

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - の々ぐ

• same result with a decrease in the mean  $m_1$  of the individual pure time preference rates  $(\rho_i)$ .

### The gamma distribution case

#### Equilibrium approach

- Introduction
- The classica model
- Beliefs heterogeneity
- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings
- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic

- a decrease in the mean m<sub>2</sub> or an increase in the variance v<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup> of the individual beliefs (b<sub>i</sub>) decreases the marginal discount rate r<sub>t</sub>
- same result with a decrease in the mean  $m_1$  of the individual pure time preference rates  $(\rho_i)$ .
- an increase in the variance v<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup> of the individual pure time preference rates (ρ<sub>i</sub>) decreases the marginal discount rate r<sub>t</sub> for t large enough.

### The general case

#### Equilibrium approach Elvès Jouini

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic If all the agents have the same ρ<sub>i</sub>, then a FSD (resp. SSD) shift in the distribution of (R<sup>i</sup>) increases the discount rate R<sub>t</sub> for all horizons.

### The general case

#### Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

- Introduction
- The classica model
- Beliefs heterogeneit
- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings
- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic

- If all the agents have the same  $\rho_i$ , then a FSD (resp. SSD) shift in the distribution of  $(R^i)$  increases the discount rate  $R_t$  for all horizons.
- 2 If all the agents have the same  $\rho_i$ , then a MLR shift in the distribution of the  $(r^i)$  increases the marginal discount rate  $r_t$  for all horizons.

### The general case

#### Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

- Introduction
- The classica model
- Beliefs heterogeneit
- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings
- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic

- If all the agents have the same  $\rho_i$ , then a FSD (resp. SSD) shift in the distribution of  $(R^i)$  increases the discount rate  $R_t$  for all horizons.
- 2 If all the agents have the same  $\rho_i$ , then a MLR shift in the distribution of the  $(r^i)$  increases the marginal discount rate  $r_t$  for all horizons.
- If all the agents have the same beliefs, then a MLR shift in the distribution of the  $(R^i)$  increases the discount rate  $R_t$  for all horizons.

### Measured set of agents

#### Equilibrium approach

- Introduction
- The classica model
- Beliefs heterogeneit
- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings
- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic

 Agent i has a probability measure Q<sup>i</sup><sub>t</sub> that represents the distribution of date-t aggregate consumption

### Measured set of agents

#### Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

- Introduction
- The classica model
- Beliefs heterogeneit
- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings
- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic

- Agent i has a probability measure Q<sup>i</sup><sub>t</sub> that represents the distribution of date-t aggregate consumption
- Agent *i* has a pure time preference rate ρ<sub>i</sub>, a share of total wealth w<sub>i</sub> and a log-utility

$$R_t \equiv -\frac{1}{t} \ln \int \frac{w_i \rho_i}{\int w_j \rho_j d\nu(j)} \exp\left(-R_t^i t\right) d\nu(i)$$

### Measured set of agents

#### Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

- Introduction
- The classica model
- Beliefs heterogeneit
- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings
- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic

- Agent *i* has a probability measure Q<sup>i</sup><sub>t</sub> that represents the distribution of date-t aggregate consumption
- Agent *i* has a pure time preference rate ρ<sub>i</sub>, a share of total wealth w<sub>i</sub> and a log-utility

$$R_t \equiv -\frac{1}{t} \ln \int \frac{w_i \rho_i}{\int w_j \rho_j d\nu(j)} \exp\left(-R_t^i t\right) d\nu(i)$$

• where  $R_t^i$  is the equilibrium discount rate that would prevail if the economy was made of agent *i* only

#### Equilibrium approach Elvès Jouini

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic • The socially discount factor is not, in general, an arithmetic average of the individually anticipated ones

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ●□

#### Equilibrium approach Elyès Jouini

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic • The socially discount factor is not, in general, an arithmetic average of the individually anticipated ones

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - の々ぐ

η−average

#### Equilibrium approach Elvès Jouini

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic • The socially discount factor is not, in general, an arithmetic average of the individually anticipated ones

- η—average
- weights

#### Equilibrium approach Flyès Jouini

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneit

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

- The socially discount factor is not, in general, an arithmetic average of the individually anticipated ones
  - η-average
  - weights
  - bias related to beliefs and time preference rates dispersion
# Take-home messages

#### Equilibrium approach Elvès Jouini

Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneit<u>:</u>

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

- The socially discount factor is not, in general, an arithmetic average of the individually anticipated ones
  - $\eta$ -average
  - weights
  - bias related to beliefs and time preference rates dispersion

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

• The arithmetic average corresponds to a utility maximizing agent that considers each individual belief as a possible scenario while our approach corresponds to a central planner that maximizes the social welfare

#### Introduction

The classica model

Beliefs heterogeneity

Aggregation

Discount factors

Discount rates

Long term

Specific settings

How to aggregate experts opinions

Impact of stochastic

## • Specific cases

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ

- Introduction
- The classica model
- Beliefs heterogeneit
- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings
- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic

- Specific cases
  - Logarithmic case: weighted arithmetic average (à la Weitzman)

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

æ.

- Introduction
- The classica model
- Beliefs heterogeneit
- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings
- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic

- Specific cases
  - Logarithmic case: weighted arithmetic average (à la Weitzman)
  - Deterministic divergence  $(N_i/N_j$  deterministic): weighted  $\eta$ -average (Gollier-Zeckhauser)

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - の々ぐ

- Introduction
- The classica model
- Beliefs heterogeneity
- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings
- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic

- Specific cases
  - Logarithmic case: weighted arithmetic average (à la Weitzman)
  - Deterministic divergence  $(N_i/N_j$  deterministic): weighted  $\eta$ -average (Gollier-Zeckhauser)

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - の々ぐ

• Aggregate pessimism and patience reduces R

- Introduction
- The classica model
- Beliefs heterogeneit
- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings
- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic

- Specific cases
  - Logarithmic case: weighted arithmetic average (à la Weitzman)
  - Deterministic divergence  $(N_i/N_j$  deterministic): weighted  $\eta$ -average (Gollier-Zeckhauser)

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - の々ぐ

- Aggregate pessimism and patience reduces R
- Beliefs dispersion reduces R for  $\eta > 1$

- Introduction
- The classica model
- Beliefs heterogeneit
- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings
- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic

- Specific cases
  - Logarithmic case: weighted arithmetic average (à la Weitzman)
  - Deterministic divergence  $(N_i/N_j$  deterministic): weighted  $\eta$ -average (Gollier-Zeckhauser)

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - の々ぐ

- Aggregate pessimism and patience reduces R
- Beliefs dispersion reduces R for  $\eta > 1$
- Long term rate: lowest discount rate

- Introduction
- The classica model
- Beliefs heterogeneit
- Aggregation
- Discount factors
- Discount rates
- Long term
- Specific settings
- How to aggregate experts opinions
- Impact of stochastic

- Specific cases
  - Logarithmic case: weighted arithmetic average (à la Weitzman)
  - Deterministic divergence  $(N_i/N_j$  deterministic): weighted  $\eta$ -average (Gollier-Zeckhauser)
- Aggregate pessimism and patience reduces R
- Beliefs dispersion reduces R for  $\eta > 1$
- Long term rate: lowest discount rate
- Medium term: increasing as well as decreasing yield curves