SMS 2013: Director’s report.

The 52nd Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures took place in Montréal in the period June 24- July 5, 2013. It was the largest summer school in recent years, with 90 participants, and one of the most inter-disciplinary with lectures reflecting recent trends in parts of physics as well as mathematics. For the first time all lectures have been video-recorded.

The organizers, Sergei Gukov, Mikhail Khovanov and Johannes Walcher have done a tremendous job at all levels: scientific organization, student selection as well as insuring a stimulating environment during the school.

I thank all three of them for their hard work as well as Ms. Sakina Benhima from the CRM who assisted them and me with the administrative matters required in running this activity.

As in past years, this edition of the SMS was only possible with the co-operation of our main partners the CRM, Fields Institute, PIMS and MSRI as well as with support from the ISM, the University of Montreal, support from the Canadian Mathematical Society as well as, exceptionally, with the generous support of the Simons foundation. We also acknowledge the contribution of the Geometry-Topology CRM Laboratory (CIRGET). I thank all these institutions for their contributions and I also thank the board of directors of the SMS for their work and support.

In the following you will find a very detailed scientific, organizational and budgetary report. I thank again the organizers for taking the time to prepare such a thorough document.

Sincerely Yours,

Octav Cornea
Director, Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures

cornea@dms.umontreal.ca

August 27, 2013
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1 Organizers
* Sergei Gukov (Caltech Institute of Technology)
* Mikhail Khovanov (Columbia University)
* Johannes Walcher (McGill University)

2 Speakers
* Mina Aganagic (University of California at Berkeley)
* Dror Bar-Natan (University of Toronto)
* Hiroyuki Fuji (University of Tokyo)
* Sergei Gukov (California Institute of Technology)
* Joel Kamnitzer (University of Toronto)
* Anton Kapustin (California Institute of Technology)
* Mikhail Khovanov (Columbia University)
* Aaron Lauda (University of Southern California)
* Ciprian Manolescu (University of California at Los Angeles)
* Marcos Marino (Université de Genève)
* Alexei A. Oblomkov (University of Massachusetts Amherst)
* Ramadevi Pichai (Indian Institute of Technology Bombay)
* Jake Rasmussen (University of Cambridge)
* Lev Rozansky (University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill)
* Marko Stošić (Instituto Superior Técnico, Lisbon)
* Piotr Sulkowski (University of Warsaw)
* Johannes Walcher (McGill University, Montreal)
* Ben Webster (Northeastern University, Boston)

3 Number of Participants
* 90
4 Summary

Throughout recent history, the theory of knot invariants has been a fascinating melting pot of ideas and cultures, blending mathematics and physics, geometry, topology and algebra, gauge theory and quantum gravity. Participants of this year’s Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures can confirm that the explosion we are witnessing most likely is just the beginning of a larger and much more uniform story.

One of the pervasive themes is “aiming for higher dimensions” — The original construction of the Jones polynomial invariant of knots and links (one-dimensional objects non-trivially embedded in three-space) in 1984 was firmly rooted in two-dimensional mathematical physics, but did not make all symmetries manifest. An intrinsically three-dimensional interpretation was given by Witten in 1988, representing the Jones polynomial as a physicist’s path-integral over the space of connections with Chern-Simons action. Following the categorification paradigm, Khovanov in 1998 lifted the Jones polynomial to a homological invariant of link cobordisms living in four dimensions. Around the same time, developments initiated by Gopakumar and Vafa began to expose relations to higher-dimensional geometric invariants of interest in superstring theory. Splitting M-theory’s eleven dimensions in various ways makes room for a large number of vantage points to help explain the origin of lower-dimensional phenomena.

This two week long programme on “Physics and mathematics of link homology” brought together leading researchers in mathematics and mathematical physics, in order to provide an opportunity to educate a new generation of scientists in this growing field. The challenges were remarkable.

Theories of link homology are studied from a large variety of mathematical approaches and backgrounds — topologists will find the axiomatic framework of topological quantum field theory most accessible. Algebraic geometers could be interested in derived categories of coherent sheaves on quiver varieties and on convolution varieties of affine Grassmannians, or the enumerative geometry of (relative) Donaldson-Thomas invariants of Calabi-Yau threefolds. Symplectic geometers might study Fukaya-Floer categories associated with Heegard splittings or with Lagrangians on quiver varieties. Researchers with a background in representation theory will focus on the lifting of quantum groups and their representation theory to higher categories. As refreshing as this diversity may be, it represented a barrier for newcomers to enter the field. So one of the goals of the SMS was to address the sorely felt need for a pedagogical introduction aimed at intermediate to advanced graduate students interested in the mathematics of knot homology.

And while the physics perspective promises to ultimately help clarify the relations between all these approaches, initially it only seems to complicate matters — In fact, one of the main attractions of homological knot invariants for mathematical physics is precisely that it mixes so many different parts of topological quantum theory. Not only do knot homologies provide a bridge between topological field theories of cohomological and Chern-Simons type,
the relation with the enumerative geometry of Calabi-Yau threefolds is one of the incarna-
tions of the celebrated large-N (or gauge/gravity) duality that has come to dominate formal
theoretical physics in the last 15 years. Providing an access point into the relevant parts of
theoretical physics was a declared aim of the school as well. The organizers made a particular
effort to encourage interactions and fruitful exchanges between the communities in order to
facilitate the development of the unified picture.

Clearly, these are a lot of different topics to cover in a two-week summer school, aiming
at a coherent and unified overview of the subject! With necessary gaps, the enthusiastic
response and feedback from junior as well as senior participants alike is testimony to the
fact that the programme amply succeeded in balancing the various interests. The 15 main
lecturers were given the leisure of 3 (first week) or 2 (second week) times 75 minutes to
explain the background that they felt most necessary or useful for their particular subject,
sketch their main results and end with explaining those challenges that they view as most
promising. Three topical seminar style lectures rounded out the programme. All the while,
the consistently streamlined schedule of the school allowed for the organization of a number
of spontaneous discussion sessions between students from mathematics and physics back-
grounds, as well as several social outings around Montreal.

There were countless cross-references between the various lectures. Indeed, the group of
speakers is very well in tune regarding each other’s lecture topic. This is due to a number
of recent events with a similar topic, albeit at a rather higher level. In particular, a Simons
Symposium on Knot Homologies and BPS States was held in April 2012, and an MSRI
program on Knot homology was hosted in 2010. This arrangement of content contributed
to a very coherent school, and conversely, the school was a very welcome opportunity for
transmitting the results discussed at these events to the larger group containing the next
generation of professional researchers.

To enhance the pedagogical value of the school, the organizers strived to capitalized
on two effects: first of all, the interactions between mathematics and physics, which has
been a spectacularly powerful force driving progress in the theory of knot invariants. Not
only did students discuss with lecturers after the talks, during coffee breaks, and beyond,
but also did the students organize several ”math-physics clinics”, in which students with
different backgrounds explained some basic concepts from their respective field to each other.
One can be very confident that these seeds and contact will grow during the coming years.
The second notable feature were the many open questions that each lecturer highlighted
towards the end of their lectures. And these are as many opportunities for the formation
of the next generation! As participants prepared to leave Montréal laden with a wealth of
impressions, new friendships, and as much additional knowledge as they could carry, the
organizers realized once again that the ultimate success of the school will really only be
measured, several years down the road, in its contribution to the launch of the participants’
research careers!

As its immediate predecessors, this year’s edition of the SMS was made possible by
financial support from a consortium of North American Mathematics Institutes, including the Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, the Fields Institute, the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute, the Pacific Institute for the Mathematical Sciences, the Institut des Sciences Mathématiques, the Canadian Mathematical Society, the Centre Interdisciplinaire de Recherche en Géométrie et Topologie. In addition, we received a generous contribution from the Simons Foundation for the attribution of Fellowships to 20 particularly promising students.

5 Detailed record of Activities

The first week began with a quick and down-to-earth introduction to Khovanov homology by Dror Bar-Natan. Without any of the modern complications, Bar-Natan first reviewed the Kauffman bracket of the Jones polynomials as Kauffman told it in 1987, then the Khovanov homology story as Khovanov told it in 1999, and finally his “local Khovanov homology” story as understood in 2003. The lectures ended with some recent new ideas about categorification of the Alexander polynomial, rooted in the concept of “meta-groups” and the construction of “meta-bicrossed-product”. Bar-Natan’s particular emphasis on computability was, as always, a highly appreciated feature.

The pièce de résistance of the first week were three sets of lectures on the physics of Chern-Simons theory, each of different character, and focused on different aspects: Ramadevi gave an inspired review of Chern-Simons theory, braid calculus and recent results on Racah coefficients. Her first lecture included a basic review of Chern-Simons theory as a physical theory of knots and links. In the second lecture, she showed explicit calculations of the polynomial invariants for a few simple knots within SU(2) Chern-Simons theory (i.e., the colored Jones polynomial) and also SU(N) Chern-Simons theory (colored HOMFLY-PT). In the third lecture, she discussed some of her recent work on homological invariants. Mina Aganagic’s lectures were centred around so-called refined Chern-Simons theory—the basic idea being to introduce the dependence on the homological deformation parameter directly into the modular transformation matrices of the two-dimensional conformal field theory. It turns out that this program can be pushed to the end for Seifert fibered knots and 3-manifolds. Aganagic emphasized why the additional symmetry is so crucial for computability: It means that the relevant physical quantity in the M-theory picture reduces to an index computation, and does not require a (in general, messy) identification of the exact space of ground states. Marcos Mariño explained in detail the why and how of the “reformulation” of the colored HOMFLY and Kauffman invariants. The basic point is that the simplest basis in which to present the colored invariants is not the most naive one, indexed by irreducible representations of the symmetric group. Instead, one should perform a sequence of rather non-trivial transformations, as suggested by the relation with the topological string. In this interpretation, the expansion coefficients of the resulting expressions are the (yet to be rigorously defined) relative Gopakumar-Vafa invariants of a corresponding D-brane setup. Some of the associated integrality and polynomiality conjectures have recently been proven.
As one of the first mathematicians to take up to the challenges of large-N duality, Alexei Oblomkov reviewed his conjectures (with Rasmussen and Shende) relating Khovanov-Rozansky homology and Hilbert schemes, as well as the representation theory of the rational Cherednik algebra. In more detail, the conjectures concern the link of a planar singularity and the cohomology of some moduli spaces of sheaves on the corresponding planar curve. In the case of the quasi-homogeneous singularity $x^p = y^q$, it is also expected that the homology of the link, which is a toric link, carries an action of the Cherednik algebra (this representing joint work with Gorsky, Rasmussen and Shende). Oblomkov gave a detailed introduction of all the objects involved in the conjectures and discussed recent progress in proving these conjecture.

One of the recent landmarks in the theory of knot homology is Ben Webster’s categorification of arbitrary Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants. In his beautifully illustrated lectures at the school, Webster explained how to generalize Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras to categorify tensor products, and how to then apply these generalizations to construct categorifications of quantum knot invariants. The first two lectures were building up the subject, starting from fundamental representation of $\text{su}(2)$. In the third talk, Webster sketched the program that will relate these invariants to A-branes on quiver varieties.

A particular highlight of the first week were two lectures, by Piotr Sulkowski and Hiroyuki Fuji, on the recently developed relation between colored homological invariants and the super-A-polynomial via the volume conjecture. The constructions fit beautifully into the general physics framework of mirror symmetry, quantum curves, and topological recursion. The lack of a representation theoretic foundation of the deformed A-polynomial stands as one of the important open problems.

Bridging the first to the second week, Johannes Walcher started his lectures with an overview of the physics ideas underlying the interest in knot homology. He emphasized the role of large-N transition as the most important part of the duality web. As an illustration of the physics methods and language, and a preparation for Khovanov-Rozansky homology, Walcher then reviewed the role of matrix factorizations as boundary conditions in Landau-Ginzburg models. In his second lecture at the beginning of the second week, he explained the relation between the knot homology of the unknot for minuscule representations, the cohomology of Hermitian symmetric spaces, and Jacobi rings. He ended his lectures with the Landau-Ginzburg motivation underlying the differentials on knot homology. The challenge was to complete the construction of the universal differentials relating knot homologies for unitary and orthogonal/symplectic groups.

The start of the second week proper were Aaron Lauda’s lectures on the use of Howe duality for categorification of quantum knot invariants. This story begins with Cautis-Kamnitzer-Licata’s simple new approach to understanding these invariants using basic representation theory and the quantum Weyl group action. The basic point of Howe duality, or
more precisely a version of it for exterior algebras called skew-Howe duality, is to pair the
action of the braid group to the action of the quantum Weyl group. Even the graphical (or
skein theoretic) description of the knot invariants can be recovered in an elementary way
from this data. Even though it is restricted to a certain type of algebras and representa-
tions, the advantage of the Howe duality approach is that it suggests a ‘categorification’
where knot homology theories arise in an elementary way from higher representation theory
and the structure of categorified quantum groups.

Pace picked up further with Mikhail Khovanov’s lectures, who gave an introduction to
categorification of quantum groups, starting with the notion of Grothendieck groups of alge-
bras and categories. He explained possible framework when the direct sum of Grothendieck
groups of a family of algebras admits the structure of a bialgebra or a twisted bialgebra via
maps induced by induction and restriction functors. From the model case of group alge-
bras of symmetric groups he moved on to a more refined setup of KLR algebras, explaining
how Serre relations on the categorified level emerge from the diagrammatics of these alge-
bras. He concluded by explaining an approach to categorify these groups at a prime root of
unity, by equipping KLR algebras with certain $p$-differentials and working in the hopfological
framework.

One of the basic blends of math and physics ideas underlying knot homologies is the
relation between higher categories and higher topological quantum field theories. Anton
Kapustin, who is one of the pioneers of the subject, gave two lectures on a class of 3-
dimensional topological field theories known as abelian Chern-Simons theory, in which the
2-category of boundary conditions can be described completely explicitly. The theory, which
turns out to be surprisingly restrictive, also has applications in condensed matter physics
such as the quantum Hall effect.

Lev Rozansky, who was one of the senior participants of the school, was asked to give
a one-hour seminar to share his expertise with the students. He chose to talk about the
construction of colored Khovanov homology using the Jones-Wenzl projector. The idea is to
cable link components and present the Jones-Wenzl projector as a limit of a directed system
of twist braids. One advantage is that the twist braid representation makes colored Khovanov
homology computable with the help of programs computing the non-colored theory.

The most detailed overview over the physics of knot homology was offered by Sergei
Gukov. He explained in a stimulating lecture the diversity of relevant physical theories,
involving topological quantum field theories in 2, 3, 4, and even 5 and 6 dimensions, string
theories, M-theory, and dualities between all of the above. The main message was that these
are really just different vantage points, from each of which particular structures become best
visible. In his second lecture, Gukov explained the origin and uses of the vortex equations
for the purposes of explicitly constructing knot homology.

As mentioned above, one of the most intriguing structural properties of knot homologies
is the existence of differentials that relate various theories, both in rank space (where they
 correspond to finite-$N$ corrections in the physics language), as well as in color space. The
excitement is due in large measure to the fact that the differentials lack an obvious counterpart before categorification, even though they are usually accompanied by new structural properties of the polynomial invariants as well. Marko Stošić presented a comprehensive overview of the presently known structure of differentials on theories of knot homologies. The motivations stem from physics/geometry insights that include BPS state counting and Landau-Ginzburg theories, but are also related to representation theory of superalgebras and Cherednik algebras. The main features are the ’colored’ differentials that relate homological invariants of knots colored by different representations. Stošić finished his lectures with a discussion of the relationship between differentials and the recursion relations of colored knot invariants encoded in the super-A-polynomial of Fuji-Gukov-Sulkowski.

Even though the theory of differentials in that full glory remains conjectural, there is a firm ground for hope. As explained in Jacob Rasmussen’s lectures, one can indeed explain some of the differentials on Khovanov-Rozansky theory categorifying HOMFLY-PT by constructing appropriate spectral sequences converging to the sl(N) theories, thereby generalizing certain early observations of Lee and Gornik on knot Floer homology and Khovanov homology, respectively. Rasmussen finished his two lectures with a sketch of the uses of Cherednik algebras for further progress in this very promising area, which is fully understood at least for torus knots.

Joel Kamnitzer described his joint work with Cautis for constructing knot homology theories using the affine Grassmannian and the geometric Satake correspondence, which works well for all minuscule representations. He also gave an aperçu of the relations to other approaches to knot homology, including those of Khovanov, Mackaay, Webster and Witten. Thereby, the quantization of associated hyperkahler manifolds emerged as a very promising theme of the future.

Last not least, Ciprian Manolescu reviewed knot Floer homology and its relation with Heegard Floer homology. Knot Floer homology takes its origin in Seiberg-Witten theory. Manolescu reviewed the original definition given by Ozsvath-Szabo, and Rasmussen around 2003, which was based on symplectic geometry. In the meantime, at least five different combinatorial descriptions have become available. Manolescu explained the one based on grid diagrams (joint work with Ozsvath and Sarkara from 2006) and the one based on a cube of resolutions, which is reminiscent of HOMPLY-PT homology (work of Ozsvath and Szabo from 2007). One of the nice features of the symplectic approach to knot homology is the clear relation with a corresponding theory of invariants of three-manifolds (Heegard Floer homology). This is almost completely missing in the other approaches, and so the program of the school ended with the challenge whether the general three-manifold invariants of Reshetikhin-Turaev-Witten type could similarly be categorified.
6 Organization and Administration

We received over 120 applications from around the world. We sent out 101 invitations, offering partial support (accommodation in dormitories) to all non-local invitees. In correspondence with responsible people, we selected 23 participants for funding through MSRI. Based on excellence recommendation from their advisors, we also selected 20 students for support through Simons Fellowships. Of those invited, 28 attended with SMS support, 21 with MSRI support, 19 with Simons Fellowships, and 3 with a CMS Scholarship. 19 (mostly locals) did not receive any support from us. A number of participants received additional support from their home institutions toward travel expenses.

Around 90% of the support for participants went to graduate students. In addition, we also offered support to several high-profile senior participants with limited own funds. This decision was based in part on the pedagogical and scientific value that these participants contributed through topical seminars, interactions with students, and leading of discussion sessions.

Of the 90 participants, 13 (14%) were female. Canadian participants included 3 from the University of Toronto, 1 each from the Fields and Perimeter Institute, 7 from UQAM, 3 from McGill and 1 from Sherbrooke. The students from Toronto were awarded a CMS scholarship, valued 700$ each.

We offered full support (travel, accommodation and per diem meals) to speakers, although some instead chose to use their own funds for travel. All lectures were recorded and are available online via the SMS website.

The overall administration, arrangement of accommodation, refreshments, folders, and reimbursements, were all handled superbly by the SMS Staff.

7 Outline of Expenditures

- **Speakers**: Housing at the Terrace Royal hotel near the Université de Montréal, reimbursement of travel expenses and per diem meals.
- **SMS funded participants**: 2 weeks at the Université de Montréal dormitories, non-refundable, plus support for travel expenses depending on the distance to Montréal from the participant’s location of study.
- **MSRI funded participants**: 21 participants. MSRI support covered housing, meals and travel expenses.
- **Simons Fellowships**: 19, valued $1000 each.
- **CMS Fellowships**: 3, valued $700 each.
- **Senior participants/session leaders**: partial support of accommodation in hotel or dormitories, and travel expenses
- **Social Events**: daily breakfast and coffee breaks, one wine-and-cheese reception (covered by registration fee), two speakers’ dinners at local restaurants.
- **Miscellaneous**: Poster, video recording, photographs, photocopies
8 List of Participants

\* Zodinmawia, IIT Bombay (Simons Fellow)
\* Abel, Maabel, Virginia (MSRI)
\* Appel, Andrea, Northeastern (Simons Fellow)
\* Artamonov, Semen, ITEP (Simons Fellow)
\* Bade, Nathaniel, Northeastern (MSRI)
\* Baik, Hyungryul, Cornell (Simons Fellow)
\* Bashkirov, Denis, Perimeter (Pdf) (no support)
\* Belin Alexandre, McGill (no support)
\* Berg-Brisebois, Karl-Alexander, UQAM (no support)
\* Bosc, Jean-Franois, UQAM (no support)
\* Brown, Michael, Nebraska (MSRI)
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* Su Kung-Yi, Caltech (SMS)
* Sulikowski, Piotr, Warsaw (Prof) (SMS)
* Thorngren, Ryan, Caltech (SMS)
* Truong, Linh, Princeton (Simons Fellow)
* Tsumura, Yu, Purdue (MSRI)
* Wang, Zhao, Texas A&M (SMS)
* Wedrich, Paul, Cambridge (Simons Fellow)
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* Yoo, Philsang, Northwestern (Simons Fellow)
* You, Qi, Columbia (MSRI)
* Young, Matthew, Stonybrook (Simons Fellow)
* Zenkevich, Yegor, ITEP (Simons Fellow)
* Zhou, Qiao, (MSRI)
9 Feedback from Participants

Following the event, we collected feedback from the participants via an online questionnaire on the CRM website. Here are some results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question: Which of the following statements do you most agree with?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The lectures were so difficult that I could not benefit at all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The lectures were very difficult, but I could still benefit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The lectures were easy to follow, and I learned a lot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The lectures were too easy, and I was bored</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question: The schedule was</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>too light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>about right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>too tight</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments (Selection)

* “The intention of the program is great. Bringing physicists and mathematicians to look at one particular subject of topology from different angles.”
* “It’s great to talk to physicists as a mathematician. This is really a rare opportunity for a math new graduate like me.”
* “De nombreux moments de discussion ont permis d’échanger avec un grand nombre de doctorants, post-doctorants et conférenciers. Je repars avec de nombreuses idées, et de nouveaux contacts !”
* “The physics lectures were far from introductory, unfortunately.”
* “the main asset of this conference was meeting people. I had never meet Lou Kauffman before! Or heard Dror Bar-Natan speak. I also met Marcos Marino and Sergei Gukov. Ramadevi was excellent pedagogically. In general, I found the algebraists too... algebraic. We grad students organized our own math-physics discussion session, trying to reconcile the two disciplines. It will be a long time. Not all faculty were approachable. I couldn’t really talk to Kapustin or Aganagic much. That’s the way the ball bounces.”
* “As the workshop was advertised as being for graduate students from multiple disciplines within both physics and mathematics, some more introductory talks would have been helpful; alternatively, the discussions would have been more useful had they been held on the second or third day, instead of the third to last day.”
* “The scientific programming was of very high quality, and I think valuable for participants of all levels.”
Outstanding. It was a nice blend of physicists and mathematicians. The mathematicians were not just confined to low-dimensional topologists, but also algebraic geometers and representation theorists. They gave a nice broad overview of the subject.

These lectures spawned new questions for me to explore. I've spent the weekend scouring the arXiv for papers by Johannes Walcher and Sergei Gukov. I have the happy problem of having yet more things to learn.

Talks were scheduled appropriately so that related talks were close to each other (in time).

The scientific programming was excellent. Many of the speakers were well-known experts in the field, and many of them gave very useful, well-planned talks. There was also a good variety of levels of the talks. Overall they provided an excellent introduction to the field, a broad overview of the theory as a whole, and a good survey of several very interesting recent results and conjectures.

I learned several things at this summer school that will immediately impact my work in a positive way. The summer school also opened several new avenues of possible long-term research that may create interesting new connections between my work and the topics discussed during the lectures and conversations afterwards.

It was extraordinarily helpful to have a thematic program dedicated to exploring a wide variety of perspectives on and developments related to knot homologies, and aimed at graduate students or beginning researchers rather than experts in the field. I strongly feel that summer schools such as the SMS are essential for young mathematicians trying to get up to speed. I learned a lot, and I am confident that the other attendees did as well.
Appendix 1: Schedule.

École d’été SMS 2013 : Physique et Mathématiques de l’Homology des Entrelacs
Du 24 juin au 5 juillet 2013

SMS 2013 Summer School: Physics and Mathematics of Link Homology
June 24 - July 5, 2013

HORAIRE / PROGRAM
Le lundi 24 juin 2013 / Monday, June 24, 2013

08:00 - 09:00 Inscription et café croissants (Hall d’entrée Aisenstadt) / Registration and Coffee & Croissants (Hall d’entrée Aisenstadt)

09:00 - 10:15 Dror Bar-Natan (University of Toronto)
“A quick introduction to Khovanov homology-I”

10:15 - 10:45 Pause-café / Coffee break
(Salle / Room 1221)

10:45 - 12:00 Ramadevi Pichai (Indian Institute of Technology)
“Chern-Simons theory and knot invariants-I”

12:00 - 13:30 Pause-déjeuner / Lunch break

13:30 - 14:45 Alexei A. Oblomkov (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)
“Topology of planar curves, knot homology and representation theory of Cherednik algebras-I”

14:45 - 15:15 Pause-café / Coffee break
(Salle / Room 1221)

15:15 - 16:30 Mina Aganagic (University of California)
“Knots and string dualities-I”
Le mardi 25 juin 2013 / Tuesday, June 25, 2013

08:30 - 09:00 Café croissants (1221) / Coffee & Croissants (1221)

09:00 - 10:15 Marcos Marino (Université de Genève)
“Integrality properties of link invariants from topological-strings-I”

10:15 - 10:45 Pause-café / Coffee break
(Salle / Room 1221)

10:45 - 12:00 Dror Bar-Natan (University of Toronto)
“A quick introduction to Khovanov homology-II”

12:00 - 13:30 Pause-déjeuner / Lunch break

13:30 - 14:45 Alexei A. Oblomkov (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)
“Topology of planar curves, knot homology and representation theory of Cherednik algebras-II”

14:45 - 15:15 Pause-café / Coffee break
(Salle / Room 1221)

15:15 - 16:30 Mina Aganagic (University of California)
“Knots and string dualities-II”

16:30 Cocktail de bienvenue (6245) / Welcoming reception (6245)
Le mercredi 26 juin 2013 / Wednesday, June 26, 2013

08:30 - 09:00 Café croissants (1221) / Coffee & Croissants (1221)

09:15 - 10:15 Piotr Sułkowski (University of Warsaw)
“TBA”

10:15 - 10:45 Pause-café / Coffee break
(Salle / Room 1221)

10:45 - 12:00 Dror Bar-Natan (University of Toronto)
“Meta-groups, Meta-bicrossed-products, and the Alexander polynomial”

12:00 - 13:30 Pause-déjeuner / Lunch break

13:30 - 14:45 Ramadevi Pichai (Indian Institute of Technology)
“Chern-Simons theory and knot invariants-II”

14:45 - 15:15 Pause-café / Coffee break
(Salle / Room 1221)

15:15 - 16:30 Ben Webster (Northeastern University)
“Knot homology, KLR algebras and quiver Varietys-I”
Le jeudi 27 juin 2013 / Thursday, June 27, 2013

08:30 - 09:00 Café croissants (1221) / Coffee & Croissants (1221)

09:00 - 10:15 Marcos Marino (Université de Genève)
“Integrality properties of link invariants from topological strings-II”

10:15 - 10:45 Pause-café / Coffee break
(Salle / Room 1221)

10:45 - 12:00 Ben Webster (Northeastern University)
“Knot homology, KLR algebras and quiver Varieties-III”

12:00 - 13:30 Pause-déjeuner / Lunch break

13:30 - 14:45 Alexei A. Oblomkov (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)
“Topology of planar curves, knot homology and representation theory of Cherednik algebras-III”

14:45 - 15:15 Pause-café / Coffee break

15:15 - 16:30 Ramadevi Pichai (Indian Institute of Technology)
“Chern-Simons theory and knot invariants-III”
Le vendredi 28 juin 2013 / Friday, June 28, 2013

08:30 - 09:00 Café croissants (1221) / Coffee & Croissants (1221)

09:00 - 10:15 Ben Webster (Northeastern University)
“Knot homology, KLR algebras and quiver Varieties-II”

10:15 - 10:45 Pause-café / Coffee break

10:45 - 12:00 Marcos Marino (Université de Genève)
“Integrality properties of link invariants from topological strings-III”

12:00 - 13:30 Pause-déjeuner / Lunch break

13:30 - 14:45 Johannes Walcher (McGill University)
“Matrix factorizations and topological strings for knots-I”

14:45 - 15:15 Pause-café / Coffee break

15:15 - 16:15 Hiroyuki Fuji (University of Tokyo)
“TBA”
Le lundi 1 juillet 2013 / Monday, July 1, 2013

08:30 - 09:00 Café croissants (1221) / Coffee & Croissants (1221)

09:00 - 10:15 Johannes Walcher (McGill University)
“Matrix factorizations and topological strings for knots-II”

10:15 - 10:45 Pause-café / Coffee break
(Salle / Room 1221)

10:45 - 12:00 Aaron Lauda (University of Southern California)
“Knot invariants and their categorifications via Howe duality-I”

12:00 - 13:30 Pause-déjeuner / Lunch break

13:30 - 14:45 Mikhail Khovanov (Columbia University)
“Categorification of the HOMFLYPT polynomial and its specializations-I”

14:45 - 15:15 Pause-café / Coffee break
(Salle / Room 1221)

15:15 - 16:30 Anton Kapustin (California Institute of Technology)
“Abelian Chern-Simons theory as an extended topological field theory-I”
08:30 - 09:00 Café croissants (1221) / Coffee & Croissants (1221)

09:00 - 10:15 Aaron Lauda (University of Southern California)
“Knot invariants and their categorifications via Howe duality-II”

10:15 - 10:45 Pause-café / Coffee break
(Salle / Room 1221)

10:45 - 12:00 Mikhail Khovanov (Columbia University)
“Categorification of the HOMFLYPT polynomial and its specializations-II”

12:00 - 13:30 Pause-déjeuner / Lunch break

13:30 - 14:45 Anton Kapustin (California Institute of Technology)
“Abelian Chern-Simons theory as an extended topological field theory-II”

14:45 - 15:15 Pause-café / Coffee break
(Salle / Room 1221)

15:15 - 16:15 Lev Rozansky (University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill)
“TBA”
Le mercredi 3 juillet 2013 / Wednesday, July 3, 2013

08:30 - 09:00 Café croissants (1221) / Coffee & Croissants (1221)

09:00 - 10:15 Sergei Gukov (Harvard University)
“Overview of physics of knot homologies-I”

10:15 - 10:45 Pause-café / Coffee break
(Salle / Room 1221)

10:45 - 12:00 Marko Stosic (Instituto Superior Técnico)
“Colored HOMFLY homology of knots and links-I”

12:00 - 13:30 Pause-déjeuner / Lunch break

13:30 - 14:45 Jake Rasmussen (University of Cambridge)
“Differentials on knot homologies- I”

14:45 - 15:15 Pause-café / Coffee break
(Salle / Room 1221)

15:15 - 16:30 Joel Kamnitzer (University of Toronto)
“Knot homology via the affine Grassmannian-I”
Le jeudi 4 juillet 2013 / Thursday, July 4, 2013

08:30 - 09:00 Café croissants (1221) / Coffee & Croissants (1221)

09:00 - 10:15 Ciprian Manolescu (UCLA)
    “An introduction to knot Floer homology”

10:15 - 10:45 Pause-café / Coffee break
    (Salle / Room 1221)

10:45 - 12:00 Sergei Gukov (Harvard University)
    “Overview of physics of knot homologies-II”

12:00 - 13:30 Pause-déjeuner / Lunch break

13:30 - 14:45 Marko Stosic (Instituto Superior Técnico)
    “Colored HOMFLY homology of knots and links-II”

14:45 - 15:15 Pause-café / Coffee break
    (Salle / Room 1221)

15:15 - 16:30 Jake Rasmussen (University of Cambridge)
    “Differentials on knot homologies- II”
08:30 - 09:00 Café croissants (1221) / Coffee & Croissants (1221)

09:00 - 10:15 Joel Kamnitzer (University of Toronto)
“Knot homology via the affine Grassmannian-II”

10:15 - 10:45 Pause-café / Coffee break
(Salle / Room 1221)

10:45 - 12:00 Ciprian Manolescu (UCLA)
“From knot Floer homology to invariants of 3- and 4-manifolds”
Appendix 2: Budget

You will find below a summary of expenditures and income. The pages that follow contain a detailed budget.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPENDITURES</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speakers – travel and local expenses:</td>
<td>28,685.19$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student participants – student residences and travel support:</td>
<td>52,117.12$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other expenditures – meals, advertisements, staff pay,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proceedings  distribution (estimated)</td>
<td>14,996.65$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL EXPENDITURES (estimated):** 95,798.96$

| INCOME – grants and registration fees:                  | 95,985.00$ |

For the tables that follow, the expenditures that are only estimated at this time (due to delays with various transactions, reimbursements etc) are in blue.
## Revenue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Revenue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRM</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIELDS</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIMS</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISM</td>
<td>$7,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIRGET</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIMONS</td>
<td>$19,865.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSRI</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registration Fees</td>
<td>$1,620.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total revenue**  
$95,985.00
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Speakers, housing and travel</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>11,603.69$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>17,081.50$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total expenditures speakers</strong></td>
<td><strong>28,685.19$</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Student Participants housing and travel</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>33,240.00$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>18,877.12$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total student expenditures</strong></td>
<td><strong>52,117.12$</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Other Expenditures.</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poster</td>
<td>1,770.62$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video recording and photos</td>
<td>3,000.00$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Hospitality fees.</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pauses café</td>
<td>2,634.82$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Réception</td>
<td>1,174.68$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Épicerie</td>
<td>438.47$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frais de représentation</td>
<td>1,751.66$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total hospitality</strong></td>
<td><strong>5,999.63$</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Paper etc | 99.40$ |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technical Assistants and staff</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70 heures à 16,11 $</td>
<td>1,127.00$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Other expenditures - total** | **11,996.65$** |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Proceedings volume - distribution</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3,000.00$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| <strong>Total expenditures</strong> | <strong>95,798.96$</strong> |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participating Institution</th>
<th>University Residence</th>
<th>Travel</th>
<th>Lodging</th>
<th>Grand total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SIMONS Princeton</td>
<td>SIMONS</td>
<td>SIMONS</td>
<td>SIMONS</td>
<td>31,240.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIMONS UQAM</td>
<td>SIMONS</td>
<td>SIMONS</td>
<td>SIMONS</td>
<td>18,397.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIMONS Stonybrook</td>
<td>SIMONS</td>
<td>SIMONS</td>
<td>SIMONS</td>
<td>62,177.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grand total**: 58,812.53